

## APPLICATIONS ON DIFFERENTIAL SUBORDINATION INVOLVING LINEAR OPERATOR

ABDUL RAHMAN SALMAN JUMA\*

Department of Mathematics, University of Anbar, Ramadi, Iraq

---

**ABSTRACT.** In the present paper, we introduce and investigate some subclasses of strongly close-to-convex functions associated with the linear operator of meromorphic  $p$ -valently functions and study several inclusion relationships with some properties of this operator.

**KEYWORDS** linear operator , meromorphic functions , differential subordination, strongly close-to-convex functions,  $p$ -valently functions.

**AMS Subject Classification.:** Secondary 30C45.

---

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\Sigma_p$  denote the class of meromorphic functions of the form

$$f(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k+p} z^{k+p}, \quad (1.1)$$

which are analytic and  $p$ -valently in the punctured unit disk  $\mathcal{U}^* = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} : 0 < |z| < 1\} = \mathcal{U} - 0$ .

If  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$  are analytic in  $\mathcal{U}$ , we say that  $f(z)$  is subordinate to  $g(z)$ , written  $f \prec g$  or  $f(z) \prec g(z)$ , if there exists a Schwarz function  $w(z)$ , which (by definition) is analytic in  $\mathcal{U}$  such that  $f(z) = g(w(z))$ .

A function  $f(z) \in \Sigma_p$  is said to be  $p$ -valent meromorphic starlike of order  $\alpha$  ( $0 \leq \alpha \leq p$ ) if it satisfies

$$Re\left\{ -\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > \alpha, \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}) \quad (1.2)$$

and the class of such functions is defined by  $MS^*(\alpha)$ .

Furthermore, a function  $f(z) \in \Sigma_p$  is said to be  $p$ -valently meromorphic convex functions of order  $\alpha$  ( $0 \leq \alpha \leq p$ ) if it satisfies

$$Re\left\{ -\left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right) \right\} > \alpha, \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}) \quad (1.3)$$

---

\* Corresponding author.

Email address : dr\_juma@hotmail.com.

Article history : Received 4 November 2015 Accepted 26 January 2018.

and the class of such functions is defined by  $MK(\alpha)$ .

Let  $f(z) \in \Sigma_p$  and  $g(z) \in MS^*(\alpha)$ . Then  $f(z) \in MC(\alpha, \beta)$  if and only if

$$Re\left\{-\frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)}\right\} > \beta, \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}), \quad (1.4)$$

where  $0 \leq \alpha < p$  and  $0 \leq \beta < p$ . Such functions are called close-to-convex functions of order  $\beta$  and type  $\alpha$  in  $\mathcal{U}$ , (see for details .[[4], [9]].

Further, a function  $f(z) \in \Sigma_p$  is called  $p$ -valently meromorphic strongly starlike of order  $\gamma$  ( $0 < \gamma \leq p$ ) and type  $\alpha$  ( $0 < \alpha < p$ ) in  $\mathcal{U}$  if it satisfies

$$\left|arg\left(-\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - \alpha\right)\right| < \frac{\pi}{2}\gamma, \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}), \quad (1.5)$$

and denoted by  $MS^*(\gamma, \alpha)$ .

If  $f(z) \in \Sigma_p$  satisfies

$$\left|arg\left(-\left(1 + \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right) - \alpha\right)\right| < \frac{\pi}{2}\gamma, \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}),$$

for some  $\gamma$  ( $0 < \gamma \leq p$ ) and  $\alpha$  ( $0 < \alpha \leq p$ ), then  $f$  is called  $p$ -valently meromorphic strongly convex of order  $\gamma$  and type  $\alpha$  in  $\mathcal{U}$  and denoted by  $MC(\gamma, \alpha)$ . We note that the classes mentioned above are the familiar classes which have been studied by many authors (see for example.([3],[6],[9],[10])).

For a function  $f(z) \in \Sigma_p$  given by (1), we define a linear operator  $D^n$  by

$$\begin{aligned} D^0 f(z) &= f(z) \\ D^1 f(z) &= z^{-p}(z^{p+1}f(z))' = z^{-p} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (2p+k+1)a_{k+p}z^{k+p} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} D^n f(z) &= D(D^{n-1}f(z)) = z^{-p}(z^{p+1}D^{n-1}f(z))' \\ &= z^{-p} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (2p+k+1)^n a_{k+p}z^{k+p}. \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}) \end{aligned} \quad (1.6)$$

Using the relation (6), it is easy to verify that

$$z(D^n f(z))' = D^{n+1}f(z) - (p+1)D^n f(z). \quad (1.7)$$

Also, we note that  $D^n f(z)$  of another form of function studied by Liu and Srivastava [7] ,Srivastava and Patel [13] who introduce several inclusion relationships by using various subclasses of meromorphic  $p$ -valent function. A special cases of linear operator  $D^n$  for  $p = 1$  studied by Uralegaddi and Somanatha [14], Aouf and Hossen.[1], and got interesting results by using the operator  $D^n$ .

For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $MC_p^{n+1}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, A, B)$  be the class of functions  $f(z) \in \Sigma_p$  satisfying the condition:

$$\left| -arg \frac{z(D^{n+1}f(z))'}{D^{n+1}g(z)} - \gamma \right| < \frac{\pi}{2}\delta \quad (0 < \gamma \leq p, 0 \leq \delta < p; z \in \mathcal{U}), \quad (1.8)$$

for some  $g(z) \in S_p^{n+1}(\alpha, A, B)$ , where

$$S_p^{n+1}(\alpha, A, B) = \left\{ g : \frac{1}{p+\alpha} \left( \frac{z(D^{n+1}g(z))'}{D^{n+1}g(z)} - \alpha \right) \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} \right\} \quad (1.9)$$

( $0 \leq \alpha < p, -1 \leq B \leq A \leq 1, z \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $g \in \Sigma_p$ ) and the functions  $f$  belonging to this class is called strongly close-to-convex function. In this study and by using the technique of Cho[2],we find some argument properties of functions belonging to  $\Sigma_p$  which

include inclusion relationship and we obtain some interesting results for the functions class  $MC_p^{n+1}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, A, B)$  which we have defined here by the operator  $D^n$ .

To establish our main results, we shall need the following lemmas.

**Lemma 1.1** [5] Let  $h(z)$  be convex univalent in  $\mathcal{U}$  with  $h(0) = 1$  and  $Re\{\varepsilon h(z) + \eta\} > 0$  ( $\varepsilon, \eta \in \mathbb{C}$ ). If  $p(z)$  is analytic in  $\mathcal{U}$  with  $p(0) = 1$ , then

$$p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{\varepsilon p(z) + \eta} \prec h(z) \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}),$$

implies  $p(z) \prec h(z) \quad (z \in \mathcal{U})$ .

**Lemma 1.2** [8]: Let  $h(z)$  be convex univalent in  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $w(z)$  be analytic in  $\mathcal{U}$  with  $Re\{w(z)\} \geq 0$ . If  $p(z)$  is analytic in  $\mathcal{U}$  with  $p(0) = h(0)$ , then

$$p(z) + w(z)zp'(z) - \frac{\pi}{2}(z) \prec h(z) \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}),$$

implies  $p(z) \prec h(z) \quad (z \in \mathcal{U})$ .

**Lemma 1.3**[9]: Let  $p(z)$  be analytic in  $\mathcal{U}$  with  $p(0) = 1$  and  $p(z) \neq 0$  in  $\mathcal{U}$ . If there exists two points  $z_1, z_2$  in  $\mathcal{U}$  such that

$$-\frac{\pi}{2}\alpha_1 = \arg p(z_1) < \arg p(z) < \arg p(z_2) = \frac{\pi}{2}\alpha_2 \tag{1.10}$$

for some  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$  ( $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 > 0$ ) and for all  $z$  ( $|z| < |z_1| = |z_2|$ ), then we have

$$\frac{z_1 p'(z_1)}{p(z_1)} = i \frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{2} m$$

and

$$\frac{z_2 p'(z_2)}{p(z_2)} = i \frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{2} m, \tag{1.11}$$

where  $m \geq \frac{1-|c|}{1+|c|}$  and

$$c = i \tan \frac{\pi}{4} \left( \frac{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1}{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} \right). \tag{1.12}$$

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

We first derive the following with use of Lemma 1.1.

**Proposition 2.1.** Let  $h(z)$  be convex univalent in  $\mathcal{U}$  with  $h(0) = 1$  and  $Re\{h(z)\} > 0$ .

If a function  $f(z) \in \Sigma_p$  satisfies the following condition:

$$-\frac{1}{p + \alpha} \left( \frac{z(D^{n+1}f(z))'}{D^{n+1}f(z)} - \alpha \right) \prec h(z),$$

then

$$-\frac{1}{p + \alpha} \left( \frac{z(D^n f(z))'}{D^n f(z)} - \alpha \right) \prec h(z),$$

$$(0 \leq \alpha < p; z \in \mathcal{U})$$

**Proof.** Let

$$p(z) = -\frac{1}{p + \alpha} \left( \frac{z(D^{n+1}f(z))'}{D^{n+1}f(z)} - \alpha \right). \tag{2.1}$$

Then  $p(z)$  is analytic function in  $\mathcal{U}$  with  $p(0) = 1$ . By using (1.7), we obtain

$$p + 1 + \alpha + (p + \alpha)p(z) = -\frac{D^{n+1}f(z)}{D^n f(z)}. \tag{2.2}$$

Differentiating Logarithmically with respect to  $z$  and multiplying by  $z$ , we get

$$p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{p+1+\alpha+(p+\alpha)p(z)} = -\frac{1}{p+\alpha} \left( \frac{z(D^{n+1}f(z))'}{D^{n+1}f(z)} - \alpha \right).$$

Now, by using Lemma 1.1, we obtain

$$-\frac{1}{p+\alpha} \left( \frac{z(D^n f(z))'}{D^n f(z)} - \alpha \right) \prec h(z),$$

deduce that  $p(z) \prec h(z)$ .

Setting  $h(z) = \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$  ( $-1 \leq B \leq A \leq 1$ ), in Lemma 2.1, we obtain

**Corollary 2.1:** For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $p \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$ , we have

$$S_p^{n+1}(\alpha, A, B) \subset S_p^n(\alpha, A, B).$$

**Proposition 2.2:** Let  $h(z)$  be convex univalent in  $\mathcal{U}$  with  $h(0) = 1$  and  $Re\{h(z)\} > 0$ . If  $f(z) \in \Sigma_p$  satisfies

$$-\frac{1}{p+\alpha} \left( \frac{z(D^{n+1}f(z))'}{D^{n+1}f(z)} - \alpha \right) \prec h(z),$$

then

$$-\frac{1}{p+\alpha} \left( \frac{z(D^{n+1}\mathbb{I}_\theta f(z))'}{D^{n+1}\mathbb{I}_\theta f(z)} - \alpha \right) \prec h(z),$$

$$(0 \leq \alpha < p; z \in \mathcal{U})$$

where

$$\mathbb{I}_\theta f(z) = \frac{\theta-p}{z^\theta} \int_0^z t^{\theta-1} f(t) dt \quad (\theta \geq 0) \quad (2.3)$$

**Proof.** From (2.3), we have

$$z(D^{n+1}\mathbb{I}_\theta f(z))' = (\theta-p)(D^{n+1}f(z)) - \theta(D^{n+1}f(z)). \quad (2.4)$$

Let

$$p(z) = -\frac{1}{p+\alpha} \left( \frac{z(D^{n+1}f(z))'}{D^{n+1}f(z)} - \alpha \right),$$

$p(z)$  is analytic function in  $\mathcal{U}$  with  $p(0) = 1$ . Then from (2.4), we get

$$\theta + \alpha + (p+\alpha)p(z) = -(\theta-p) \frac{D^{n+1}f(z)}{D^{n+1}\mathbb{I}_\theta f(z)}. \quad (2.5)$$

By differentiating (2.5) logarithmically with respect to  $z$  and multiplying by  $z$ , we have

$$p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{\theta + \alpha + (p+\alpha)p(z)} = -\frac{1}{p+\alpha} \left( \frac{z(D^{n+1}f(z))'}{D^{n+1}f(z)} - \alpha \right).$$

Thus, by Lemma 1.1, we get

$$-\frac{1}{p+\alpha} \left( \frac{z(D^{n+1}f(z))'}{D^{n+1}f(z)} - \alpha \right) \prec h(z).$$

Taking  $h(z) = \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$  ( $-1 \leq B \leq A \leq 1$ ), in Proposition 2.2, we obtain

**Corollary 2.2:** If  $f(z) \in S_p^{n+1}(\alpha, A, B)$ , then  $\mathbb{I}_\theta f(z) \in S_p^{n+1}(\alpha, A, B)$ . Hence on Applying Proposition 2.2, we prove the following theorem

**Theorem 2.1:** Let  $f(z) \in \Sigma_p$  and  $(0 < \delta_1, \delta_2 \leq p, 0 \leq \alpha < p)$ . If

$$-\frac{\pi}{2} \delta < \arg \left( -\frac{z(D^{n+1}f(z))'}{D^{n+1}g(z)} - \gamma \right) < \frac{\pi}{2} \delta_2$$

for some  $g(z) \in S_p^{n+1}(\alpha, A, B)$ , then

$$-\frac{\pi}{2}\beta_1 < \arg\left(-\frac{z(D^n f(z))'}{D^n g(z)} - \gamma\right) < \frac{\pi}{2}\beta_2,$$

where  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$  ( $0 < \beta_1, \beta_2 \leq p$ ) are the solution of the equations:

$$\delta_1 = \begin{cases} \beta_1 + \frac{2}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \left\{ \frac{(\beta_1 + \beta_2)(1 - |c|) \cos \frac{\pi}{2} t_1}{2 \left( \frac{(p + \alpha)(1 + A)}{1 + B} + p + 1 + \alpha \right) (1 + |c|) + (\beta_1 + \beta_2)(1 - |c|) \sin \frac{\pi}{2} t_1} \right\} & B \neq -1 \\ \beta_1 & B = -1, \end{cases} \quad (2.6)$$

and

$$\delta_2 = \begin{cases} \beta_2 + \frac{2}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \left\{ \frac{(\beta_1 + \beta_2)(1 - |c|) \cos \frac{\pi}{2} t_1}{2 \left( \frac{(p + \alpha)(1 + A)}{1 + B} + p + 1 + \alpha \right) (1 + |c|) + (\beta_1 + \beta_2)(1 - |c|) \sin \frac{\pi}{2} t_1} \right\} & B \neq -1 \\ \beta_2 & B = -1, \end{cases} \quad (2.7)$$

where  $c$  is given by (1.12) and  $t_1 = \frac{2}{\pi} \sin^{-1} \left( \frac{(p + \alpha)(1 - B)}{(p + \alpha)(1 - AB) + (p + 1 + \alpha)(1 - B^2)} \right)$ .

**Proof.** Let

$$p(z) = -\frac{1}{p + \alpha} \left( \frac{z(D^n f(z))'}{D^n g(z)} - \gamma \right). \quad (2.8)$$

It follows from (1.7) that

$$[(p + \gamma)(p(z) - \gamma)]D^n g(z) = D^{n+1} f(z) - (p + 1)D^n f(z). \quad (2.9)$$

Differentiating both sides of (2.9), and multiplying by  $z$ , we deduce that

$$(p + \gamma)z p'(z) D^n g(z) + [(p + \gamma)p(z) - \gamma]z (D^n g(z))' = z(D^{n+1} f(z))' - (p + 1)z(D^n f(z))'. \quad (2.10)$$

Since  $g(z) \in S_p^{n+1}(\alpha, A, B)$ , by applying Corollary 2.1, we find that  $g(z) \in S_p^n(\alpha, A, B)$ . Thus, by using (1.7) and put  $q(z) = -\frac{1}{p + \alpha} \left( \frac{z(D^n g(z))'}{D^n g(z)} - \alpha \right)$ , we immediately have

$$(p + \alpha)q(z) + \alpha + p + 1 = -\frac{D^{n+1} g(z)}{D^n g(z)}. \quad (2.11)$$

Therefore, by (2.10) and (2.11), we obtain

$$-\frac{1}{p + \alpha} \left( \frac{z(D^{n+1} f(z))'}{D^{n+1} g(z)} - \gamma \right) = p(z) + \frac{z p'(z)}{(p + \alpha)q(z) + \alpha + p + 1}.$$

Making use the result of Silverman and Silvia [10], we obtain

$$\left| q(z) - \frac{1 - AB}{1 - B^2} \right| < \frac{A - B}{1 - B^2} \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}; B \neq -1) \quad (2.12)$$

and

$$\operatorname{Re}\{q(z)\} > \frac{1 - A}{2} \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}; B = -1) \quad (2.13)$$

It follows from (2.12) and (2.13) that

$$(p + \alpha)q(z) + p + \alpha + 1 = r e^{i \frac{\pi \phi}{2}}.$$

Now, if  $B \neq -1$ , we have

$$\frac{(p + \alpha)(1 - A)}{1 - B} + \alpha + p + 1 < r < \frac{(p + \alpha)(1 + A)}{1 + B} + \alpha + p + 1, \quad -t_1 < \phi < t_1,$$

and if  $B = -1$ , we have

$$\frac{(p + \alpha)(1 - A)}{2} + \alpha + p + 1 < r < \infty, \quad -1 < \phi < 1,$$

Applying Lemma 1.2 with  $w = -\frac{1}{(p + \alpha)q(z) + p + \alpha + 1}$ , we note that  $p(z)$  is analytic with  $p(0) = 1$  and  $\operatorname{Re}\{p(z)\} > 0$  in  $\mathcal{U}$ .

Hence by Lemma 1.3 for  $z_1, z_2 \in \mathcal{U}$ , such that the condition (1.10) is satisfied, then we obtain (1.11) under the restriction (1.12). On other hand, if  $B \neq -1$ , we readily get

$$\begin{aligned} \arg\left(-\left(p(z_1) + \frac{z_1 p'(z_1)}{(p+\alpha)q(z_1) + p + \alpha + 1}\right)\right) &= -\frac{\pi}{2}\beta_1 + \arg\left(1 - i\frac{\beta_1 + \beta_2}{2}m(re^{i\frac{\pi}{2}})^{-1}\right) \\ &\leq -\frac{\pi}{2}\beta_1 - \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{(\beta_1 + \beta_2)m \sin \frac{\pi}{2}(1 - \phi)}{2r + (\beta_1 + \beta_2)m \cos \frac{\pi}{2}(1 - \phi)}\right) \\ \frac{-\pi}{2}\beta_1 - \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{(\beta_1 + \beta_2)(1 - |c|) \cos \frac{\pi}{2}t_1}{2\frac{(p+\alpha)(1+A)}{1+B} + p + \alpha + 1(1 + |c|) + (\beta_1 + \beta_2)(1 - |c|) \sin \frac{\pi}{2}t_1}\right) &= \frac{-\pi}{2}\delta_1, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\arg\left(-\left(p(z_2) + \frac{z_2 p'(z_2)}{(p+\alpha)q(z_2) + p + \alpha + 1}\right)\right) \geq \frac{-\pi}{2}\beta_2 - \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{(\beta_1 + \beta_2)(1 - |c|) \cos \frac{\pi}{2}t_1}{2\frac{(p+\alpha)(1+A)}{1+B} + p + \alpha + 1(1 + |c|) + (\beta_1 + \beta_2)(1 - |c|) \sin \frac{\pi}{2}t_1}\right) = \frac{-\pi}{2}\delta_2.$$

Also, if  $B = -1$ , we readily get

$$\arg\left(-\left(p(z_1) + \frac{z_1 p'(z_1)}{(p+\alpha)q(z_1) + p + \alpha + 1}\right)\right) \leq \frac{-\pi}{2}\beta_1$$

and

$$\arg\left(-\left(p(z_2) + \frac{z_2 p'(z_2)}{(p+\alpha)q(z_2) + p + \alpha + 1}\right)\right) \geq \frac{\pi}{2}\beta_2$$

There are contradiction with a assumption. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1

**Corollary 2.3:**

$$MC_p^{n+1}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, A, B) \subset MC_p^n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, A, B).$$

Setting  $n = 0, \delta_1 = \delta_2 = \delta$  in Theorem 2.1, we get:

**Corollary 2.4:** Let  $f(z) \in \Sigma_p$ . If

$$\left| \frac{z(z^{-p}(z^{p+1}f)')'}{z^{-p}(z^{p+1}g(z))'} - \gamma \right| < \frac{\pi}{2}\delta$$

for some  $g(z) \in S_p^*$ , then

where  $\beta(0 < \beta \leq p)$  is the solution of equation:

$$\delta = \begin{cases} \beta + \frac{2}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \left\{ \frac{\beta \cos \frac{\pi}{2}t_1}{\frac{(p+\alpha)(1+A)}{1+B} + p + 1 + \alpha + \beta \sin \frac{\pi}{2}t_1} \right\} & B \neq -1 \\ \beta & B = -1, \end{cases}$$

and  $t_1 = \frac{2}{\pi} \sin^{-1} \left( \frac{(p+\alpha)(1-B)}{(p+\alpha)(1-AB) + (p+1+\alpha)(1-B^2)} \right).$

**Theorem 2.2:** Let  $f(z) \in \Sigma_p$  and  $(0 < \delta_1, \delta_2 \leq 1, 0 \leq \gamma < 1)$ . If

$$\frac{-\pi}{2}\delta_1 < \arg\left(-\left(\frac{z(D^{n+1}f(z))'}{D^{n+1}g(z)} - \gamma\right)\right) < \frac{\pi}{2}\delta_2,$$

for some  $g(z) \in S_p^{n+1}(\alpha, A, B)$ , then

$$\frac{-\pi}{2}\beta_1 < \arg\left(-\left(\frac{z(D^{n+1}\mathbb{I}_\theta f(z))'}{D^{n+1}\mathbb{I}_\theta g(z)} - \gamma\right)\right) < \frac{\pi}{2}\beta_2,$$

where  $\mathbb{I}_\theta$  is defined by (2.3), and  $\beta_1, \beta_2$ , are the solutions of

$$\delta_1 = \begin{cases} \beta_1 + \frac{2}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \left\{ \frac{(\beta_1 + \beta_2)(1 - |c|) \cos \frac{\pi}{2}t_2}{2\frac{(p+\alpha)(1+A)}{1+B} + \theta + \alpha(1 + |c|) + (\beta_1 + \beta_2)(1 - |c|) \sin \frac{\pi}{2}t_2} \right\} & B \neq -1 \\ \beta_1 & B = -1, \end{cases} \quad (2.14)$$

and

$$\delta_2 = \begin{cases} \beta_2 + \frac{2}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \left\{ \frac{(\beta_1 + \beta_2)(1 - |c|) \cos \frac{\pi}{2} t_2}{2 \left( \frac{(p + \alpha)(1 + A)}{1 + B} + \theta + \alpha \right) (1 + |c|) + (\beta_1 + \beta_2)(1 - |c|) \sin \frac{\pi}{2} t_2} \right\} & B \neq -1 \\ \beta_2 & B = -1, \end{cases} \quad (2.15)$$

here  $c$  is given by (1.12) and  $t_2 = \frac{2}{\pi} \sin^{-1} \left( \frac{(p + \alpha)(1 - B)}{(p + \alpha)(1 - AB) + (\theta + \alpha)(1 - B^2)} \right)$ .

**Proof.** Let

$$p(z) = -\frac{1}{p + \alpha} \left( \frac{z(D^{n+1} \mathbb{I}_\theta f(z))'}{D^{n+1} \mathbb{I}_\theta g(z)} - \gamma \right).$$

Since  $g(z) \in S_p^{n+1}(\alpha, A, B)$ , and by using Corollary 2.2, we obtain  $\mathbb{I}_\theta g(z) \in S_p^{n+1}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, A, B)$ . By using (2.5), we get

$$[(p + \gamma)(p(z) - \gamma)] D^{n+1} \mathbb{I}_\theta g(z) = (\theta - p)(D^{n+1} f(z)) - \theta D^{n+1} \mathbb{I}_\theta f(z)$$

and simplifying, we obtain

$$(p + \gamma)z p'(z) + [(p + \gamma)p(z) + \gamma][(p + \alpha)q(z) + \theta + \alpha] = (\theta - p) \frac{z(D^{n+1} f(z))'}{D^{n+1} \mathbb{I}_\theta g(z)},$$

where

$$q(z) = -\frac{1}{p + \alpha} \left( \frac{z(D^{n+1} \mathbb{I}_\theta g(z))'}{D^{n+1} \mathbb{I}_\theta g(z)} - \alpha \right).$$

Therefore,

$$-\frac{1}{p + \alpha} \left( \frac{z(D^{n+1} f(z))'}{D^{n+1} g(z)} - \alpha \right) = p(z) + \frac{z p'(z)}{(p + \alpha)q(z) + \alpha + \theta}.$$

Applying a similar method as in the proof of Theorem 2.1 we get the required result and the proof is complete.

Setting  $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = \delta$  in Theorem 2.2, we obtain

**Corollary 2.5:** Let  $f(z) \in \Sigma_p$  and  $0 \leq \gamma < p, 0 < \delta \leq 1$ . If

$$\left| \arg \left( -\frac{z(D^{n+1} f(z))'}{D^{n+1} g(z)} - \gamma \right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2} \delta$$

for some  $g(z) \in S_p^{n+1}(\alpha, A, B)$ , then

$$\left| \arg \left( -\frac{z(D^{n+1} \mathbb{I}_\theta(f)(z))'}{D^{n+1} \mathbb{I}_\theta(g)(z)} - \gamma \right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2} \beta,$$

where  $\mathbb{I}_\theta$  is given by (2.5), and  $\beta(0 < \beta \leq 1)$  is the solution of the equation

$$\delta = \begin{cases} \beta + \frac{2}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \left\{ \frac{\beta \cos \frac{\pi}{2} t_2}{\left( \frac{(p + \alpha)(1 + A)}{1 + B} + \theta + \alpha \right) + \beta \sin \frac{\pi}{2} t_2} \right\} & B \neq -1 \\ \beta & B = -1 \end{cases}$$

**Corollary 2.6:** If  $f(z) \in MC_p^{n+1}(\gamma, \delta, \alpha, A, B)$ , then  $\mathbb{I}_\theta(f) \in MC_p^{n+1}(\gamma, \delta, \alpha, A, B)$ .

REFERENCES

1. M. K. Aouf and H. M. Hossen ,New criteria for meromorphic p-valent starlike functions,Tsukuba J. Math.,17(1993),481-486 .
2. N. Cho,The Noor integral operator and strongly close-t-convex functions,J.Math. Anal. Appl.,283(2003),202-212.
3. E. Deniz, H. Orhan, On The Univalence of Integral Operators Involving Meromorphic Functions, Math. Commun., 18 (2013), 1-9.
4. P.Enigenberg,S. S. Miller,P. T. Mocanu and M. O. Reade,On a Briot- Bouquet differential subordination,in:General Inequalities,Vol.3 Birkhauser,Basel(1983),339- 348.

5. R. Libra, Some radius of convexity problems, *Duke Mat. J.*, 31(1964), 143-153.
6. J. Liu and H.M. Srivastava, Class of meromorphic multivalent functions associated with generalized hypergeometric functions, *Math. Compute Mod.*, 39(2004), 21-34.
7. J. Liu and H. M. Srivastava, Subclass of meromorphic multivalent functions associated with a certain linear operator, *Math. Compute Mod.*, 39(2004), 35- 44.
8. S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, differential subordinations and univalent functions, *Michigan Math. J.*, 28(1989), 157-171.
9. M. Nunokawa, S. Owa, H. Saitoh, N. E. Cho and N. Takahashi, Some properties of analytic functions at extremal points for arguments, preprint, 2003.
10. H. Orhan, D. Raducanu, E. Deniz, Subclasses Of Meromorphically Multivalent Functions Defined By A Differential Operator, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 61(4), (2011) 966-979.
11. H. Silverman, On a Class of close-to-convex schlicht functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 36(1972), 477-484.
12. H. Silverman and E. Silvia, Subclasses of starlike functions subordinate to convex functions, *Canad. J. Math.* 37(1985), 48-61.
13. H. M. Srivastava and J. Patel, Applications of differential subordination to certain subclasses of meromorphically multivalent functions, *JIPAM.*, 6(3)(2005), 1-15.
14. B. A. Uralegaddi and C. Somanatha, New criteria for meromorphic starlike univalent functions, *Bull. Austral Math. Soc.*, 43(1991) 137-140.