



## GENERALISED CESÀRO-ORLICZ DOUBLE SEQUENCE SPACES OVER N-NORMED SPACES

SURUCHI PANDOH\* AND KULDIP RAJ

Department of Mathematics, Shri Mata Vaishno Devi University, Katra-182320, J&K,  
 India

**ABSTRACT.** This article is an attempt to highlight wide-ranging Cesàro-Orlicz double difference sequence spaces over  $n$ -normed spaces. The aim here lies in analyzing some topological properties and inclusion relations between these spaces.

**KEYWORDS :** Double sequence; Orlicz function; Difference sequence; Paranormed space;  $n$ -Normed spaces; Cesàro sequence space.

**AMS Subject Classification:** 40A05, 40A25, 46A30

### 1. INTRODUCTION, PRELIMINARIES AND NOTATIONS

Let  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $w$  and  $w^2$  denote the sets of positive integers, real numbers, single real sequences and double real sequence respectively in the entire paper. For  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , the *Cesàro sequence space*  $Ces_p$  is defined by

$$Ces_p = \left\{ x \in w : \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{j} \sum_{i=1}^j |x_i| \right)^p < \infty \right\},$$

equipped with the norm

$$\|x\| = \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{j} \sum_{i=1}^j |x_i| \right)^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Beginning with the first premise of Shiue [26], the concept of space played a very significant role in the theory of matrix operators and others. In the advent, Sanhan and Suantai studied a generalized Cesàro sequence space  $Ces_p$ , where  $p = (p_j)$  symbolized a bounded sequence of positive real numbers (see [25]). Later, this spaces was studied by many authors in ([8], [10], [15]).

A *double sequence* on a normed linear space  $X$  is a function  $x$  from  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  into  $X$  and briefly denoted by  $x = (x_{kl})$ . A double sequence  $(x_{kl})$  is said to converge (in

\* Corresponding author.

Email address : suruchi.pandoh87@gmail.com(Suruchi Pandoh).

Email address : kuldipraj68@gmail.com (Kuldip Raj).

Article history : Received June 16, 2015. Accepted June 20, 2016.

terms of Pringsheim) to  $a \in X$  [19], if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $n_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\|x_{kl} - a\|_X < \varepsilon$  whenever  $k, l > n_\varepsilon$ .

A double series  $\sum_{k,l=1}^{\infty} x_{kl}$  is *convergent* if and only if its sequence of partial sums  $s_{nm}$  is convergent (see [1], [2]), where  $s_{nm} = \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^m x_{kl}$  for all  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

A double sequence  $x = (x_{kl})$  is said to be *bounded* if  $\|x\|_{(\infty, 2)} = \sup_{k,l} |x_{kl}| < \infty$ . The space of all bounded double sequences is denoted by  $l_\infty^2$ .

Initially introduced by Kizmaz [9], the notion of *difference sequence spaces* was conceptualized as  $l_\infty(\Delta)$ ,  $c(\Delta)$  and  $c_o(\Delta)$ . Further, the notion was generalized by Et and Çolak [3] as they familiarized the spaces  $l_\infty(\Delta^n)$ ,  $c(\Delta^n)$  and  $c_o(\Delta^n)$ . Let  $m, n$  be non-negative integers, then for  $Z$  a given sequence space, we have

$$Z(\Delta_m^n) = \{x = (x_k) \in w : (\Delta_m^n x_k) \in Z\}$$

for  $Z = c, c_0$  and  $l_\infty$  where  $\Delta_m^n x = (\Delta_m^n x_k) = (\Delta_m^{n-1} x_k - \Delta_m^{n-1} x_{k+m})$  and  $\Delta_m^0 = x_k$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , which is equivalent to the following binomial representation

$$\Delta_m^n x_k = \sum_{v=0}^n (-1)^v \binom{n}{v} x_{k+mv}.$$

If  $m = 1$ , we get the spaces  $l_\infty(\Delta^n)$ ,  $c(\Delta^n)$  and  $c_0(\Delta^n)$  studied by Et and Çolak [3].

If  $m = n = 1$ , we get the spaces  $l_\infty(\Delta)$ ,  $c(\Delta)$  and  $c_0(\Delta)$  introduced and studied by Kizmaz [9]. Likewise, the difference operators on double sequence spaces can be examined as:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta x_{k,l} &= (x_{k,l} - x_{k,l+1}) - (x_{k+1,l} - x_{k+1,l+1}) \\ &= x_{k,l} - x_{k,l+1} - x_{k+1,l} + x_{k+1,l+1}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\Delta^n x_{k,l} = \Delta^{n-1} x_{k,l} - \Delta^{n-1} x_{k,l+1} - \Delta^{n-1} x_{k+1,l} + \Delta^{n-1} x_{k+1,l+1}$$

and

$$\Delta_m^n x_{k,l} = \Delta_m^{n-1} x_{k,l} - \Delta_m^{n-1} x_{k,l+1} - \Delta_m^{n-1} x_{k+1,l} + \Delta_m^{n-1} x_{k+1,l+1}.$$

For further details about sequence spaces one can refer to ([16], [17], [20], [21], [22], [24]) and references therein.

An *Orlicz function*  $M : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a continuous, non-decreasing and convex such that  $M(0) = 0$ ,  $M(x) > 0$  for  $x > 0$  and  $M(x) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ . The function is said to be *modulus function* if the convexity of Orlicz function is substituted by  $M(x+y) \leq M(x) + M(y)$ . Lindenstrauss and Tzafiriri [11] used the conception of Orlicz function to describe the following sequence space,

$$\ell_M = \left\{ x = (x_k) \in w : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{|x_k|}{\rho}\right) < \infty, \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \right\}$$

termed as an Orlicz sequence space. The space  $\ell_M$  is a Banach space with the norm

$$\|x\| = \inf \left\{ \rho > 0 : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{|x_k|}{\rho}\right) \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Prior, [11] indicates that every Orlicz sequence space  $\ell_M$  comprises of a subspace isomorphic to  $\ell_p$  ( $p \geq 1$ ). An Orlicz function  $M$  can always be imputed in the

following integral form

$$M(x) = \int_0^x \eta(t) dt,$$

where  $\eta$  is known as the kernel of  $M$ , is a right differentiable for  $t \geq 0$ ,  $\eta(0) = 0$ ,  $\eta(t) > 0$ ,  $\eta$  is non-decreasing and  $\eta(t) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

A sequence  $\mathcal{M} = (M_k)$  of Orlicz functions is called a *Musielak-Orlicz function* (see [12, 13]). Complementary function where  $\mathcal{N} = (N_k)$ , defined as

$$N_k(v) = \sup\{|v|u - M_k(u) : u \geq 0\}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

is derived from the Musielak-Orlicz function  $\mathcal{M}$ .

The sequence space  $t_{\mathcal{M}}$  and its subspace  $h_{\mathcal{M}}$  for a given Musielak-Orlicz function  $\mathcal{M}$ , can be specified as follows

$$t_{\mathcal{M}} = \left\{ x \in w : I_{\mathcal{M}}(cx) < \infty \text{ for some } c > 0 \right\},$$

$$h_{\mathcal{M}} = \left\{ x \in w : I_{\mathcal{M}}(cx) < \infty \text{ for all } c > 0 \right\},$$

where  $I_{\mathcal{M}}$  as a convex modular can be described as

$$I_{\mathcal{M}}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M_k(x_k), \quad x = (x_k) \in t_{\mathcal{M}}.$$

We consider  $t_{\mathcal{M}}$  equipped with the Luxemburg norm

$$\|x\| = \inf \left\{ k > 0 : I_{\mathcal{M}}\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) \leq 1 \right\}$$

or equipped with the Orlicz norm

$$\|x\|^0 = \inf \left\{ \frac{1}{k} \left( 1 + I_{\mathcal{M}}(kx) \right) : k > 0 \right\}.$$

A Musielak-Orlicz function  $\mathcal{M} = (M_k)$  is said to be  $\Delta_2$ -condition if there exist constants  $a, K > 0$  and a sequence  $c = (c_k)_{k=1}^{\infty} \in l_+^1$  (the positive cone of  $l^1$ ) such that the inequality

$$M_k(2u) \leq KM_k(u) + c_k$$

holds for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $u \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , whenever  $M_k(u) \leq a$ .

## 2. THE SPACES OF DOUBLE SEQUENCES OVER $n$ -NORMED SPACES

This section brings to limelight Cesàro-Orlicz double difference sequence spaces over  $n$ -normed spaces with the help of Musielak-Orlicz functions. Before proceeding futher, first we recall the notion of paranormed space as follows:

A linear topological space  $X$  over the real field  $\mathbb{R}$  (the set of real numbers) is said to be a *paranormed space* if there is a subadditive function  $g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $g(\theta) = 0$ ,  $g(x) = g(-x)$  and scalar multiplication is continuous, i.e.,  $|\alpha_n - \alpha| \rightarrow 0$  and  $g(x_n - x) \rightarrow 0$  imply  $g(\alpha_n x_n - \alpha x) \rightarrow 0$  for all  $\alpha$ 's in  $\mathbb{R}$  and all  $x$ 's in  $X$ , where  $\theta$  is the zero vector in the linear space  $X$ . A paranorm  $g$  for which  $g(x) = 0$  implies  $x = 0$  is called total paranorm and the pair  $(X, g)$  is called a total paranormed space. The metric of any linear metric space is given by some total paranorm (see [27], Theorem 10.4.2, pp. 183).

In the mid of 1960's, Gähler [4] introduced the concept of 2-normed spaces while Misiak [14] propounded the  $n$ -normed spaces. This concept was further surveyed by critics like Gunawan ([5], [6]) and Gunawan and Mashadi [7] who studied it and obtained various results. Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $X$  be a linear space over the field of real numbers  $\mathbb{R}$  of dimension  $d$ , where  $d \geq n \geq 2$ . A real valued function  $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|$  on  $X^n$  substantiates the following four conditions:

- (i)  $\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\| = 0$  if and only if  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  are linearly dependent in  $X$ ,
- (ii)  $\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|$  is invariant under permutation,
- (iii)  $\|\alpha x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\| = |\alpha| \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|$  for any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , and
- (iv)  $\|x + x', x_2, \dots, x_n\| \leq \|x, x_2, \dots, x_n\| + \|x', x_2, \dots, x_n\|$

is called an  $n$ -norm on  $X$ , and the pair  $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$  is said to be  $n$ -normed space over the field  $\mathbb{R}$ .

For example, we may take  $X = \mathbb{R}^n$  being equipped with the  $n$ -norm  $\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|_E$  = the volume of the  $n$ -dimensional parallelopiped spanned by the vectors  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  which may be given explicitly by the formula

$$\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|_E = |\det(x_{ij})|,$$

where  $x_i = (x_{i1}, x_{i2}, \dots, x_{in}) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

Let  $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$  be an  $n$ -normed space of dimension  $d \geq n \geq 2$  and  $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$  be linearly independent set in  $X$ . Then the following function  $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_\infty$  on  $X^{n-1}$  as defined by

$$\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\|_\infty = \max\{\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, a_i\| : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

is called an  $(n-1)$ -norm on  $X$  with respect to  $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ .

A sequence  $(x_k)$  in a  $n$ -normed space  $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$  is said to converge to some  $L \in X$  if

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_k - L, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\| = 0 \text{ for every } z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \in X.$$

A sequence  $(x_k)$  in a  $n$ -normed space  $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$  is said to be Cauchy if

$$\lim_{k, p \rightarrow \infty} \|x_k - x_p, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\| = 0 \text{ for every } z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \in X.$$

$X$  is said to be complete with respect to the  $n$ -norm if every Cauchy sequence in  $X$  converges to some  $L \in X$ . Thereby, any complete  $n$ -normed space is said to be  $n$ -Banach space.

Suppose  $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$  be a  $n$ -normed space and  $w(n-X)$  denotes the space of  $X$ -valued double sequences. Let  $\mathcal{M} = (M_{nm})$  be a Musielak-Orlicz function, that is,  $\mathcal{M}$  is a sequence of Orlicz functions,  $p = (p_{nm})$  be a bounded double sequence of positive real numbers and  $u = (u_{nm})$  be a double sequence of strictly positive real numbers. In this paper we have analysed the following sequence spaces:

$$\begin{aligned} Ces_{\mathcal{M}}^{(2)}[\Delta_n^m, u, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|] = \\ \left\{ x \in w(n-X) : \sum_{n, m=1}^{\infty} u_{nm} \left[ M_{nm} \left( \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n, m} \left\| \frac{\Delta_n^m x_{ij}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_{nm}} < \infty, \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us consider a few special cases of the above sequence spaces:

(i) If  $\mathcal{M} = M_{nm}(x) = I$  for all  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ , then we have

$$Ces_{\mathcal{M}}^{(2)}[\Delta_n^m, u, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|] = Ces^{(2)}[\Delta_n^m, u, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|].$$

(ii) If  $u = (u_{nm}) = 1$ , for all  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$  then we have

$$Ces_{\mathcal{M}}^{(2)}[\Delta_n^m, u, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|] = Ces_{\mathcal{M}}^{(2)}[\Delta_n^m, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|].$$

If we take  $u = (u_{nm}) = 1$ ,  $M_{nm}(x) = M(x)$  for all  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\Delta_n^m = \Delta$  and  $X$

is a normed space, then we get the spaces  $Ces_M^{(2)}[\Delta, p]$  which were introduced and studied by Oğur and Duyar [18].

The following inequality will be used throughout the paper. If  $0 \leq p_{nm} \leq \sup p_{nm} = H, K = \max(1, 2^{H-1})$  then

$$|a_{nm} + b_{nm}|^{p_{nm}} \leq K \{ |a_{nm}|^{p_{nm}} + |b_{nm}|^{p_{nm}} \} \quad (2.1)$$

for all  $n, m$  and  $a_{nm}, b_{nm} \in \mathbb{C}$ . Also  $|a|^{p_{nm}} \leq \max(1, |a|^H)$  for all  $a \in \mathbb{C}$ .

The paper is an endeavor to introduce the new sequence spaces  $Ces_M^{(2)}[\Delta_n^m, u, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|]$ .

The focus here is on some topological properties and inclusion relations between these sequence spaces.

### 3. MAIN RESULTS

**Theorem 3.1.** *In order to prove the double sequence  $Ces_M^{(2)}[\Delta_n^m, u, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|]$  is a linear space over the real field  $\mathbb{R}$ , let us suppose  $\mathcal{M} = (M_{nm})$  be a Musielak-Orlicz function,  $p = (p_{nm})$  be a bounded double sequence of positive real numbers and  $u = (u_{nm})$  be a double sequence of strictly positive real numbers.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $x = (x_{ij})$  and  $y = (y_{ij}) \in Ces_M^{(2)}[\Delta_n^m, u, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|]$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then based on the presumption there exist positive numbers  $\rho_1, \rho_2$  such that

$$\sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty} u_{nm} \left[ M_{nm} \left( \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n,m} \left\| \frac{\Delta_n^m x_{ij}}{\rho_1}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_{nm}} < \infty, \text{ for some } \rho_1 > 0,$$

and

$$\sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty} u_{nm} \left[ M_{nm} \left( \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n,m} \left\| \frac{\Delta_n^m y_{ij}}{\rho_2}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_{nm}} < \infty, \text{ for some } \rho_2 > 0.$$

Let  $\rho_3 = \max(2|\alpha|\rho_1, 2|\beta|\rho_2)$ . Since  $\mathcal{M} = (M_{nm})$  is a non-decreasing and convex so by using inequality (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty} u_{nm} \left[ M_{nm} \left( \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n,m} \left\| \frac{\alpha \Delta_n^m x_{ij} + \beta \Delta_n^m y_{ij}}{\rho_3}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_{nm}} \\ & \leq \sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty} u_{nm} \left[ M_{nm} \left( \frac{|\alpha|}{nm} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n,m} \left\| \frac{\Delta_n^m x_{ij}}{\rho_3}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| + \frac{|\beta|}{nm} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n,m} \left\| \frac{\Delta_n^m y_{ij}}{\rho_3}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_{nm}} \\ & \leq \sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty} u_{nm} \left[ M_{nm} \left( \frac{1}{2nm} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n,m} \left\| \frac{\Delta_n^m x_{ij}}{\rho_1}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| + \frac{1}{2nm} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n,m} \left\| \frac{\Delta_n^m y_{ij}}{\rho_2}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_{nm}} \\ & \leq K \sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty} u_{nm} \left[ M_{nm} \left( \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n,m} \left\| \frac{\Delta_n^m x_{ij}}{\rho_1}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_{nm}} \\ & + K \sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty} u_{nm} \left[ M_{nm} \left( \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n,m} \left\| \frac{\Delta_n^m y_{ij}}{\rho_2}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_{nm}} \\ & < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\alpha x + \beta y \in Ces_M^{(2)}[\Delta_n^m, u, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|]$ . This proves that  $Ces_M^{(2)}[\Delta_n^m, u, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|]$  is a linear space.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $\mathcal{M} = (M_{nm})$  be a Musielak-Orlicz function,  $p = (p_{nm})$  be a bounded double sequence of positive real numbers and  $u = (u_{nm})$  be a double sequence of strictly positive real numbers. Then the double sequence  $Ces_{\mathcal{M}}^{(2)}[\Delta_n^m, u, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|]$  is a paranormed space with the paranorm

$$g(x) = \inf \left\{ \rho^{\frac{p_{qr}}{R}} > 0 : \left( \sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty} u_{nm} \left[ M_{nm} \left( \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n,m} \left\| \frac{\Delta_n^m x_{ij}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_{nm}} \right)^{\frac{1}{R}} \leq 1; \quad q, r \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

where  $0 < p_{nm} \leq \sup p_{nm} = H < \infty$  and  $R = \max(1, H)$ .

*Proof.* (i) Clearly  $g(x) \geq 0$  for  $x = (x_{ij}) \in Ces_{\mathcal{M}}^{(2)}[\Delta_n^m, u, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|]$ . Since  $M_{nm}(0) = 0$ , we get  $g(0) = 0$ .

(ii)  $g(-x) = g(x)$

(iii) Let  $x = (x_{ij}), y = (y_{ij}) \in Ces_{\mathcal{M}}^{(2)}[\Delta_n^m, u, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|]$  there exist positive numbers  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  such that

$$\left( \sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty} u_{nm} \left[ M_{nm} \left( \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n,m} \left\| \frac{\Delta_n^m x_{ij}}{\rho_1}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_{nm}} \right)^{\frac{1}{R}} \leq 1$$

and

$$\left( \sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty} u_{nm} \left[ M_{nm} \left( \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n,m} \left\| \frac{\Delta_n^m y_{ij}}{\rho_2}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_{nm}} \right)^{\frac{1}{R}} \leq 1.$$

Let  $\rho_3 = 2^{\frac{R}{h}}(\rho_1 + \rho_2)$ , where  $h = \inf p_{nm} > 0$ . Since  $\mathcal{M}$  ia a non-decreasing convex function, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty} u_{nm} \left[ M_{nm} \left( \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n,m} \left\| \frac{\Delta_n^m x_{ij} + \Delta_n^m y_{ij}}{\rho_3}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_{nm}} \right)^{\frac{1}{R}} \\ & \leq \left( \sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty} u_{nm} \left[ M_{nm} \left( \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n,m} \left\| \frac{\Delta_n^m x_{ij}}{2^{\frac{R}{h}}(\rho_1 + \rho_2)}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_{nm}} \right)^{\frac{1}{R}} \\ & + \left( \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n,m} \left\| \frac{\Delta_n^m y_{ij}}{2^{\frac{R}{h}}(\rho_1 + \rho_2)}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right)^{\frac{1}{p_{nm}}} \\ & \leq \left( \sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty} u_{nm} \left[ \frac{\rho_1}{2^{\frac{R}{h}}(\rho_1 + \rho_2)} M_{nm} \left( \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n,m} \left\| \frac{\Delta_n^m x_{ij}}{\rho_1}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_{nm}} \right)^{\frac{1}{R}} \\ & + \left( \frac{\rho_2}{2^{\frac{R}{h}}(\rho_1 + \rho_2)} M_{nm} \left( \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n,m} \left\| \frac{\Delta_n^m y_{ij}}{\rho_2}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_{nm}} \right)^{\frac{1}{R}} \\ & \leq \left( \sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty} u_{nm} \left[ \frac{1}{2^{\frac{R}{h}}} M_{nm} \left( \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n,m} \left\| \frac{\Delta_n^m x_{ij}}{\rho_1}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_{nm}} \right)^{\frac{1}{R}} \\ & + \left( \sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty} u_{nm} \left[ \frac{1}{2^{\frac{R}{h}}} M_{nm} \left( \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n,m} \left\| \frac{\Delta_n^m y_{ij}}{\rho_2}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_{nm}} \right)^{\frac{1}{R}} \\ & = \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty} u_{nm} \left[ M_{nm} \left( \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n,m} \left\| \frac{\Delta_n^m x_{ij}}{\rho_1}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_{nm}} \right)^{\frac{1}{R}} \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty} u_{nm} \left[ M_{nm} \left( \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n,m} \left\| \frac{\Delta_n^m y_{ij}}{\rho_2}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_{nm}} \right)^{\frac{1}{R}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq 1.$$

Since  $\rho_1, \rho_2$  and  $\rho_3$  are positive real numbers, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& g(x+y) \\
& \inf \left\{ \rho_3^{\frac{pqr}{R}} > 0 : \left( \sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty} u_{nm} \left[ M_{nm} \left( \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n,m} \left\| \frac{\Delta_n^m x_{ij} + \Delta_n^m x_{ij}}{\rho_3}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_{nm}} \right)^{\frac{1}{R}} \leq \right. \\
& \quad \left. 1; \quad q, r \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \\
& \leq \inf \left\{ \rho_1^{\frac{pqr}{R}} > 0 : \left( \sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty} u_{nm} \left[ M_{nm} \left( \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n,m} \left\| \frac{\Delta_n^m x_{ij}}{\rho_1}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_{nm}} \right)^{\frac{1}{R}} \leq \right. \\
& \quad \left. 1; \quad q, r \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \\
& + \inf \left\{ \rho_2^{\frac{pqr}{R}} > 0 : \left( \sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty} u_{nm} \left[ M_{nm} \left( \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n,m} \left\| \frac{\Delta_n^m y_{ij}}{\rho_2}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_{nm}} \right)^{\frac{1}{R}} \leq \right. \\
& \quad \left. 1; \quad q, r \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \\
& = g(x) + g(y).
\end{aligned}$$

Let  $(x^n) = \{x_{ij}^n\}$  be any sequence in the space  $Ces_{\mathcal{M}}^{(2)}[\Delta_n^m, u, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|]$  such that  $g(x^n - x) \rightarrow 0$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $(\lambda_n)$  is a sequence of reals with  $\lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Then, since the inequality

$$g(x^n) \leq g(x) + g(x^n - x)$$

holds by subadditivity of the function  $g$ ,  $\{g(x^n)\}$  is bounded. Taking into account this fact we therefore derive the inequality

$$g(\lambda_n x^n - \lambda x) \leq |\lambda_n - \lambda| g(x^n) + |\lambda| g(x^n - x)$$

which tends to zero as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence, the scalar multiplication is continuous follows from the above inequality and thus proving the theorem.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{M} = (M_{nm})$  be a Musielak-Orlicz function,  $p = (p_{nm})$  be a bounded double sequence of positive real numbers and  $u = (u_{nm})$  be a double sequence of strictly positive real numbers. Then the space  $Ces_{\mathcal{M}}^{(2)}[\Delta_n^m, u, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|]$  is complete with respect to its paranorm.*

*Proof.* Let  $(x^s) = \{x_{ij}^s\}$  be any Cauchy sequence in the space  $Ces_{\mathcal{M}}^{(2)}[\Delta_n^m, u, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|]$ . Since  $(x^s)$  is a Cauchy sequence, we have  $g(x^s - x^t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $s, t \rightarrow \infty$ . Then, we have

$$\sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty} u_{nm} \left[ M_{nm} \left( \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n,m} \left\| \frac{\Delta_n^m x_{ij}^s - \Delta_n^m x_{ij}^t}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_{nm}} \rightarrow 0$$

as  $s, t \rightarrow \infty$  for all  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then, we have  $\{x_{ij}^s\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbb{R}$  for each fixed  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $\mathbb{R}$  is complete as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , we have  $x_{ij}^s \rightarrow x_{ij}$  as  $s \rightarrow \infty$  for each  $(i, j)$  and  $\mathcal{M} = (M_{nm})$  is continuous. For  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a natural number  $N$  such that

$$\sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty} u_{nm} \left[ M_{nm} \left( \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n,m} \left\| \frac{\Delta_n^m x_{ij}^s - \Delta_n^m x_{ij}^t}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_{nm}} < \epsilon.$$

Since for any fixed natural number  $M$ , we have

$$\sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty} u_{nm} \left[ M_{nm} \left( \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i,j \leq M}^{n,m} \left\| \frac{\Delta_n^m x_{ij}^s - \Delta_n^m x_{ij}^t}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_{nm}} < \varepsilon,$$

by letting  $t \rightarrow \infty$  in the above expression we obtain

$$\sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty} u_{nm} \left[ M_{nm} \left( \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i,j \leq M}^{n,m} \left\| \frac{\Delta_n^m x_{ij}^s - \Delta_n^m x_{ij}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_{nm}} < \varepsilon.$$

Since  $M$  is arbitrary, by letting  $M \rightarrow \infty$  we obtain

$$\sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty} u_{nm} \left[ M_{nm} \left( \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n,m} \left\| \frac{\Delta_n^m x_{ij}^s - \Delta_n^m x_{ij}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_{nm}} < \varepsilon.$$

Then  $g(x^s - x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $Ces_{\mathcal{M}}^{(2)}[\Delta_n^m, u, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|]$  is linear space, we get  $x = \{x_{ij}\} \in Ces_{\mathcal{M}}^{(2)}[\Delta_n^m, u, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|]$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.4.** If  $0 < p_{nm} \leq q_{nm} < \infty$  for each  $n$  and  $m$ , then we have

$$Ces_{\mathcal{M}}^{(2)}[\Delta_n^m, u, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|] \subset Ces_{\mathcal{M}}^{(2)}[\Delta_n^m, u, q, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|].$$

*Proof.* Let  $x \in Ces_{\mathcal{M}}^{(2)}[\Delta_n^m, u, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|]$ . Then there exists  $\rho > 0$  such that

$$\sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty} u_{nm} \left[ M_{nm} \left( \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n,m} \left\| \frac{\Delta_n^m x_{ij}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_{nm}} < \infty.$$

This implies that

$$u_{nm} \left[ M_{nm} \left( \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n,m} \left\| \frac{\Delta_n^m x_{ij}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_{nm}} < 1,$$

for sufficiently large values of  $n$  and  $m$ . Since  $M_{nm}$  is non-decreasing, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty} u_{nm} \left[ M_{nm} \left( \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n,m} \left\| \frac{\Delta_n^m x_{ij}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{q_{nm}} \\ \leq \sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty} u_{nm} \left[ M_{nm} \left( \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n,m} \left\| \frac{\Delta_n^m x_{ij}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_{nm}} \\ < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $x \in Ces_{\mathcal{M}}^{(2)}[\Delta_n^m, u, q, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|]$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.5.** Suppose  $\mathcal{M} = (M_{mn})$  be a Musielak-Orlicz function,  $p = (p_{mn})$  be a bounded double sequence of positive real numbers and  $u = (u_{mn})$  be a double sequence of strictly positive real numbers. Then

- (a) If  $0 < \inf p_{mn} < p_{mn} \leq 1$ . Then  $Ces_{\mathcal{M}}^{(2)}[\Delta_n^m, u, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|] \subset Ces_{\mathcal{M}}^{(2)}[\Delta_n^m, u, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|]$ .
- (b) If  $1 \leq p_{mn} \leq \sup p_{mn} < \infty$ . Then  $Ces_{\mathcal{M}}^{(2)}[\Delta_n^m, u, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|] \subset Ces_{\mathcal{M}}^{(2)}[\Delta_n^m, u, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|]$

*Proof.* (a) Let  $x = (x_{ij}) \in Ces_{\mathcal{M}}^{(2)}[\Delta_n^m, u, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|]$ . Since  $0 < \inf p_{mn} \leq 1$ , we obtain the following

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty} u_{nm} \left[ M_{nm} \left( \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n,m} \left\| \frac{\Delta_n^m x_{ij}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right] \\ \leq \sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty} u_{nm} \left[ M_{nm} \left( \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n,m} \left\| \frac{\Delta_n^m x_{ij}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_{nm}} \end{aligned}$$

$$< \infty.$$

and hence  $x = (x_{ij}) \in Ces_{\mathcal{M}}^{(2)}[\Delta_n^m, u, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|]$ .

(b) Let  $p_{nm} \geq 1$  for each  $n$  and  $m$  and  $\sup p_{nm} < \infty$ . Let  $x = (x_{ij}) \in Ces_{\mathcal{M}}^{(2)}[\Delta_n^m, u, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|]$ . Then for each  $0 < \epsilon < 1$  there exists a positive integer  $N$  such that

$$\sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty} u_{nm} \left[ M_{nm} \left( \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n,m} \left\| \frac{\Delta_n^m x_{ij}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right] \leq \epsilon < 1 \text{ for all } n, m \geq N.$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty} u_{nm} \left[ M_{nm} \left( \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n,m} \left\| \frac{\Delta_n^m x_{ij}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_{nm}} \\ & \leq \sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty} u_{nm} \left[ M_{nm} \left( \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n,m} \left\| \frac{\Delta_n^m x_{ij}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right] \\ & < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $x = (x_{ij}) \in Ces_{\mathcal{M}}^{(2)}[\Delta_n^m, u, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|]$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.6.** Let  $0 < p_{nm} \leq q_{nm}$  for all  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $(\frac{q_{nm}}{p_{nm}})$  be bounded. Then we have  $Ces_{\mathcal{M}}^{(2)}[\Delta_n^m, u, q, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|] \subset Ces_{\mathcal{M}}^{(2)}[\Delta_n^m, u, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|]$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x = (x_{ij}) \in Ces_{\mathcal{M}}^{(2)}[\Delta_n^m, u, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|]$ . Then

$$\sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty} u_{nm} \left[ M_{nm} \left( \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n,m} \left\| \frac{\Delta_n^m x_{ij}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{q_{nm}} < \infty, \text{ for some } \rho > 0.$$

$$\text{Let } s_{nm} = \sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty} u_{nm} \left[ M_{nm} \left( \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n,m} \left\| \frac{\Delta_n^m x_{ij}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{q_{nm}} \text{ and } \lambda_{nm} = \frac{p_{nm}}{q_{nm}}.$$

Since  $p_{nm} \leq q_{nm}$ , we have  $0 \leq \lambda_{nm} \leq 1$ . Take  $0 < \lambda < \lambda_{nm}$ .

Define

$$u_{nm} = \begin{cases} s_{nm} & \text{if } s_{nm} \geq 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } s_{nm} < 1 \end{cases}$$

and

$$v_{nm} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } s_{nm} \geq 1 \\ s_{nm} & \text{if } s_{nm} < 1 \end{cases}$$

$s_{nm} = u_{nm} + v_{nm}$ ,  $s_{nm}^{\lambda_{nm}} = u_{nm}^{\lambda_{nm}} + v_{nm}^{\lambda_{nm}}$ . It follows that  $u_{nm}^{\lambda_{nm}} \leq u_{nm} \leq s_{nm}$ ,  $v_{nm}^{\lambda_{nm}} \leq v_{nm}^{\lambda}$ . Since  $s_{nm}^{\lambda_{nm}} = u_{nm}^{\lambda_{nm}} + v_{nm}^{\lambda_{nm}}$ , then  $s_{nm}^{\lambda_{nm}} \leq s_{nm} + v_{nm}^{\lambda}$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty} u_{nm} \left[ \left( M_{nm} \left( \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n,m} \left\| \frac{\Delta_n^m x_{ij}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right)^{q_{nm}} \right]^{\lambda_{nm}} \\ & \leq \sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty} u_{nm} \left[ M_{nm} \left( \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n,m} \left\| \frac{\Delta_n^m x_{ij}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{q_{nm}} \\ & \Rightarrow \sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty} u_{nm} \left[ \left( M_{nm} \left( \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n,m} \left\| \frac{\Delta_n^m x_{ij}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right)^{q_{nm}} \right]^{p_{nm}/q_{nm}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty} u_{nm} \left[ M_{nm} \left( \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n,m} \left\| \frac{\Delta_n^m x_{ij}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{q_{nm}} \\
\implies &\sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty} u_{nm} \left[ M_{nm} \left( \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n,m} \left\| \frac{\Delta_n^m x_{ij}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_{nm}} \\
&\leq \sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty} u_{nm} \left[ M_{nm} \left( \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n,m} \left\| \frac{\Delta_n^m x_{ij}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{q_{nm}},
\end{aligned}$$

but

$$\sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty} u_{nm} \left[ M_{nm} \left( \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n,m} \left\| \frac{\Delta_n^m x_{ij}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{q_{nm}} < \infty \text{ for some } \rho > 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty} u_{nm} \left[ M_{nm} \left( \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n,m} \left\| \frac{\Delta_n^m x_{ij}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_{nm}} < \infty \text{ for some } \rho > 0.$$

Hence  $x = (x_{ij}) \in Ces_{\mathcal{M}}^{(2)}[\Delta_n^m, u, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|]$ . Thus, we get  $Ces_{\mathcal{M}}^{(2)}[\Delta_n^m, u, q, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|] \subset Ces_{\mathcal{M}}^{(2)}[\Delta_n^m, u, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|]$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.7.** Let  $\mathcal{M}' = (M'_{nm})$  and  $\mathcal{M}'' = (M''_{nm})$  be two Musielak-Orlicz functions satisfying  $\Delta_2$ -condition. Then

(a)  $Ces_{\mathcal{M}'}^{(2)}[\Delta_n^m, u, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|] \subset Ces_{\mathcal{M}'' \circ \mathcal{M}'}^{(2)}[\Delta_n^m, u, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|]$ ,

(b)  $Ces_{\mathcal{M}'}^{(2)}[\Delta_n^m, u, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|] \cap Ces_{\mathcal{M}''}^{(2)}[\Delta_n^m, u, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|] \subset Ces_{\mathcal{M}' + \mathcal{M}''}^{(2)}[\Delta_n^m, u, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|]$ .

*Proof.* (a) Let  $x \in Ces_{\mathcal{M}'}^{(2)}[\Delta_n^m, u, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|]$ . Then there exists  $\rho > 0$  such that

$$\sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty} u_{nm} \left[ M'_{nm} \left( \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n,m} \left\| \frac{\Delta_n^m x_{ij}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_{nm}} < \infty.$$

Since  $\mathcal{M}' = (M'_{nm})$  is a continuous function, we can find a real number  $\delta$  with  $0 < \delta < 1$  such that  $M'_{nm}(t) < \varepsilon$ . Let  $y_{nm} = M'_{nm} \left( \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n,m} \left\| \frac{\Delta_n^m x_{ij}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right)$ .

Hence we write

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty} u_{nm} [M''_{nm}(y_{nm})]^{p_{nm}} &= \sum_{y_{nm} \leq \delta}^{\infty} u_{nm} [M''_{nm}(y_{nm})]^{p_{nm}} \\
&\quad + \sum_{y_{nm} > \delta}^{\infty} u_{nm} [M''_{nm}(y_{nm})]^{p_{nm}}
\end{aligned}$$

so we have

$$\sum_{y_{nm} \leq \delta}^{\infty} u_{nm} [M''_{nm}(y_{nm})]^{p_{nm}} \leq \max\{1, M''_{nm}(1)^H\} \sum_{y_{nm} \leq \delta}^{\infty} u_{nm} [y_{nm}]^{p_{nm}} \quad (3.1)$$

For  $y_{nm} > \delta$ , we use the fact  $y_{nm} < \frac{y_{mn}}{\delta} < 1 + \frac{y_{mn}}{\delta}$ . Since  $\mathcal{M}'' = (M''_{nm})$  is non-decreasing and convex it follows that

$$M''_{nm}(y_{nm}) < M''_{nm}(1 + \frac{y_{nm}}{\delta}) < \frac{1}{2} M''_{nm}(2) + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{2y_{nm}}{\delta} \right).$$

Since  $\mathcal{M}'' = (M''_{nm})$  satisfying the  $\Delta_2$ -condition and  $\frac{y_{mn}}{\delta} > 1$ , there exists  $T > 0$  such that

$$M''_{nm}(y_{nm}) < \frac{1}{2}T \frac{y_{mn}}{\delta} M''_{nm}(2) + \frac{1}{2}T \frac{y_{mn}}{\delta} M''_{nm}(2) = T \frac{y_{mn}}{\delta} M''_{nm}(2).$$

Therefore, we have

$$\sum_{\substack{y_{nm} > \delta}}^{\infty} u_{nm} [M''_{nm}(y_{nm})]^{p_{nm}} \leq \max \left\{ 1, \left( T \frac{M''_{nm}(2)}{\delta} \right)^H \right\} \sum_{y_{nm} > \delta}^{\infty} u_{nm} [y_{nm}]^{p_{nm}} \quad (3.2)$$

Hence by the equation (3.1) and (3.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty} u_{nm} \left[ (M''_{nm} \circ M'_{nm}) \left( \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n,m} \left\| \frac{\Delta_n^m x_{ij}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_{nm}} \\ = \sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty} u_{nm} [M''_{nm} y_{nm}]^{p_{nm}} \\ \leq D \sum_{y_{nm} \leq \delta}^{\infty} u_{nm} [(y_{nm})]^{p_{nm}} \\ + G \sum_{y_{nm} > \delta}^{\infty} u_{nm} [y_{nm}]^{p_{nm}} \end{aligned}$$

where  $D = \max \{1, M''_{nm}(1)^H\}$  and  $G = \max \left\{ 1, \left( T \frac{M''_{nm}(2)}{\delta} \right)^H \right\}$ .

Hence  $Ces_{\mathcal{M}'}^{(2)}[\Delta_n^m, u, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|] \subset Ces_{\mathcal{M}'' \circ \mathcal{M}'}^{(2)}[\Delta_n^m, u, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|]$ .

(b) Let  $x \in Ces_{\mathcal{M}'}^{(2)}[\Delta_n^m, u, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|] \cap Ces_{\mathcal{M}''}^{(2)}[\Delta_n^m, u, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|]$ . Then

$$\sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty} u_{nm} \left[ M'_{nm} \left( \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n,m} \left\| \frac{\Delta_n^m x_{ij}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_{nm}} < \infty, \text{ for some } \rho > 0$$

and

$$\sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty} u_{nm} \left[ M''_{nm} \left( \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n,m} \left\| \frac{\Delta_n^m x_{ij}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_{nm}} \infty, \text{ for some } \rho > 0.$$

Let  $\rho = \max\{\rho_1, \rho_2\}$ . The result follows from the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty} u_{nm} \left[ (M'_{nm} + M''_{nm}) \left( \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n,m} \left\| \frac{\Delta_n^m x_{ij}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_{nm}} \\ = \sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty} u_{nm} \left[ M'_{nm} \left( \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n,m} \left\| \frac{\Delta_n^m x_{ij}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_{nm}} \\ + \sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty} u_{nm} \left[ M''_{nm} \left( \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n,m} \left\| \frac{\Delta_n^m x_{ij}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_{nm}} \\ \leq K \sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty} u_{nm} \left[ M'_{nm} \left( \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n,m} \left\| \frac{\Delta_n^m x_{ij}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_{nm}} \\ + K \sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty} u_{nm} \left[ M''_{nm} \left( \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n,m} \left\| \frac{\Delta_n^m x_{ij}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_{nm}} \\ < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

where  $K = \{\max 1, 2^{H-1}\}$ . Therefore,  $x = (x_{ij}) \in Ces_{\mathcal{M}' + \mathcal{M}''}^{(2)}[\Delta_n^m, u, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|]$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.8.** Let  $\mathcal{M} = (M_{nm})$  be a Musielak-Orlicz function and Suppose that  $\beta = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M_{nm}(t)}{t} < \infty$ . Then  $Ces^{(2)}[\Delta_n^m, u, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|] = Ces_{\mathcal{M}}^{(2)}[\Delta_n^m, u, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|]$ .

*Proof.* In order to prove that  $Ces^{(2)}[\Delta_n^m, u, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|] = Ces_{\mathcal{M}}^{(2)}[\Delta_n^m, u, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|]$ . It is adequate to show that  $Ces_{\mathcal{M}}^{(2)}[\Delta_n^m, u, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|] \subset Ces^{(2)}[\Delta_n^m, u, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|]$ . Now, let  $\beta > 0$ . By definition of  $\beta$ , we have  $M_{nm}(t) \geq \beta t$  for all  $t \geq 0$ . Since  $\beta > 0$ , we have  $t \leq \frac{1}{\beta} M_{nm}(t)$  for all  $t \geq 0$ . Let  $x = (x_{ij}) \in Ces_{\mathcal{M}}^{(2)}[\Delta_n^m, u, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|]$ . Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty} u_{nm} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n,m} \left\| \frac{\Delta_n^m x_{ij}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_{nm}} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty} u_{nm} \left[ M_{nm} \left( \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n,m} \left\| \frac{\Delta_n^m x_{ij}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_{nm}} \\ & < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $x = (x_{ij}) \in Ces^{(2)}[\Delta_n^m, u, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|]$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.9.** The double sequence space  $Ces_{\mathcal{M}}^{(2)}[\Delta_n^m, u, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|]$  is solid.

*Proof.* Suppose  $x = (x_{ij}) \in Ces_{\mathcal{M}}^{(2)}[\Delta_n^m, u, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|]$

$$\sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty} u_{nm} \left[ M_{nm} \left( \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n,m} \left\| \frac{\Delta_n^m x_{ij}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_{nm}} < \infty, \text{ for some } \rho > 0.$$

Let  $(\alpha_{ij})$  be a double sequence of scalars such that  $|\alpha_{ij}| \leq 1$  for all  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{we get } \sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty} u_{nm} \left[ M_{nm} \left( \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n,m} \left\| \frac{\Delta_n^m \alpha_{ij} x_{ij}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_{nm}} \\ & \leq \sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty} u_{nm} \left[ M_{nm} \left( \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n,m} \left\| \frac{\Delta_n^m x_{ij}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_{nm}} \\ & < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.10.** The double sequence space  $Ces_{\mathcal{M}}^{(2)}[\Delta_n^m, u, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|]$  is monotone.

*Proof.* The proof is insignificant so we exclude it.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

1. F. Başar, Y. Server, The space  $L_q$  of double sequences, Math. J. Okayama Univ. 51 (2009), 149-157.
2. F. Başar, Summability theory and its applications, Bentham Science Publishers, e-books, Monographs, İstanbul, 2012.
3. M. Et, R. Çolak, On generalized difference sequence spaces, Soochow J. Math. 21(4) (1995), 377-386.
4. S. Gähler, Linear 2-normietre Rume, Math. Nachr. 28 (1965), 1-43.
5. H. Gunawan, On n-Inner Product, n-Norms, and the Cauchy-Schwartz Inequality, Scientiae Mathematicae Japonicae, 5 (2001), 47-54.
6. H. Gunawan, The space of p-summable sequence and its natural n-norm, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. 64 (2001), 137-147.
7. H. Gunawan and M. Mashadi, On n-normed spaces, Int. J. Math. Sci. 27 (2001), 631-639.
8. D. Kubiak, A note on Cesàro Orlicz sequence spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 349 (2009) 291-296.

9. H. Kizmaz, On certain sequences spaces, *Canad. Math. Bull.* 24(2) (1981), 169-176.
10. P. Y. Lee, Cesàro sequence spaces, *Math. Chronicle, New Zealand*, 13 (1984), 29-45.
11. J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, On Orlicz sequence spaces, *Israel J. Math.* 10 (1971), 379-390.
12. L. Maligranda, Orlicz spaces and interpolation, *Seminars in Mathematics 5*, Polish Academy of Science, 1989.
13. J. Musielak, Orlicz spaces and modular spaces, *Lecture notes in Mathematics*, 1034 (1983).
14. A. Misiak, n-inner product spaces, *Math. Nachr.* 140 (1989), 299-319.
15. M. Mursaleen and F. Başar, Domain of Cesàro mean of order one in some spaces of double sequences, *Stud. Sci. Math. Hungar.* 51 (3) (2014), 335-356.
16. M. Mursaleen, Almost strongly regular matrices and a core theorem for double sequences, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 293(2) (2004), 523-531.
17. M. Mursaleen, S.A. Mohiuddine, Some matrix transformations of convex and paranormed sequence spaces into the spaces of invariant means, *J. Funct. Spaces Appl.* Volume 2012, Article ID 612671, 10 pages (2012).
18. O. Oğur and C. Duyar, On new Cesàro-Orlicz double difference sequence space, *Romanian J. Math. and Comput. Sc.* 4 (2014) 189-196.
19. A. Pringsheim, Zur Theori der zweifach unendlichen zahlenfolgen, *Math. Ann.* 53 (1900), 289-321.
20. K. Raj and A. Kılıçman, On certain generalized paranormed spaces, *J. Inequal. Appl.* (2015), 2015: 37.
21. K. Raj and S. K. Sharma, Some multiplier double sequence spaces, *Acta Math Vietnam* 37 (2012), 391-406.
22. K. Raj, S. K. Sharma and A. Gupta, Some difference paranormed sequence spaces over  $n$ -normed spaces defined by Musielak-Orlicz function, *Kyungpook Math. J.* 54 (2014), 73-86.
24. K. Raj and S. K. Sharma, Double sequence spaces over  $n$ -normed spaces, *Archivum Mathematicum* 50 (2014), 7-18.
25. W. Sanhan, S. Suantai, On  $k$ -nearly uniformly convex property in generalized Cesàro sequence space, *Internat. J. Math. Sci.* 57 (2003), 3599-3607.
26. J. S. Shiue, On the Cesàro sequence spaces, *Tamkang J. Math.* 1 (1970), 19-25.
27. A. Wilansky, *Summability through Functional Analysis*, North-Holland Math. Stud. 85 (1984).