

COMMON FIXED POINT OF PREŠIĆ TYPE CONTRACTION MAPPINGS IN PARTIAL METRIC SPACES

TALAT NAZIR^{*,1,2} AND MUJAHID ABBAS^{3,4}

¹Department of Mathematics, COMSATS Institute of Information Technology, Abbottabad
22060, Pakistan and

²Department of Mathematics and Computer Science Technical University Eindhoven, 5600, The
Netherlands.

³Department of Mathematics and Applied Mathematics, University of Pretoria, Lynnwood
Road, Pretoria 0002, South Africa.

⁴Department of Mathematics, Lahore University of Management Sciences, Lahore 54792,
Pakistan.

ABSTRACT. Prešić (Publ. de L'Inst. Math. Belgrade, 5 (19), 75-78) introduced the concept of a k th-order Banach type contraction mapping and obtained fixed point of such mappings on metric spaces. Ćirić and Prešić (Acta Math. Univ. Comenian. LXXVI (2) (2007), 143-147) extended the notion to k th-order Ćirić type contraction mappings on a metric space. On the other hand, Matthews (Ann. New York Acad. Sci. 728 (1994), 183-197) introduced the concept of a partial metric as a part of the study of denotational semantics of dataflow networks. He gave a modified version of the Banach contraction principle, more suitable in this context. In this paper, we study the common fixed points of k th-order Ćirić type contractions in the framework of partial metric spaces. We also present an example to validate our result.

KEYWORDS: Partial metric space; Common fixed point; Prešić type contraction.

AMS Subject Classification: 54H25, 47H10, 15A24, 65H05.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Banach contraction principle [7] is a simple and powerful result with a wide range of applications, including iterative methods for solving linear, nonlinear, differential, integral, and difference equations. There are several generalizations and extensions of the Banach contraction principle in the existing literature.

Banach contraction principle reads as follows:

^{*} Corresponding author.

Email address: talat@ciit.net.pk (Talat Nazir).

Article history : Received: March 25, 2013 , Accepted: June 28, 2013.

Theorem 1.1. [7] Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and mapping $f : X \rightarrow X$ satisfies

$$d(fx, fy) \leq kd(x, y) \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

where $k \in [0, 1)$. Then, there exists a unique x in X such that $x = fx$. Moreover, for any $x_0 \in X$, the iterative sequence $x_{n+1} = fx_n$ converges to x .

Let $f : X^k \rightarrow X$, where $k \geq 1$ is a positive integer. A point $x^* \in X$ is called a fixed point of f if $x^* = f(x^*, \dots, x^*)$. Consider the k -th order nonlinear difference equation

$$x_{n+1} = f(x_{n-k+1}, x_{n-k+2}, \dots, x_n) \text{ for } n = k-1, k, k+1, \dots \quad (1.1)$$

with the initial values $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{k-1} \in X$.

Equation (1.1) can be studied by means of fixed point theory in view of the fact that x in X is a solution of (1.1) if and only if x is a fixed point of f . One of the most important results in this direction is obtained by Presić [20] in the following way.

Theorem 1.2. [20] Let (X, d) be a complete metric space, k a positive integer and $f : X^k \rightarrow X$. Suppose that

$$d(f(x_1, \dots, x_k), f(x_2, \dots, x_{k+1})) \leq \sum_{i=1}^k q_i d(x_i, x_{i+1}) \quad (1.2)$$

holds for all x_1, \dots, x_{k+1} in X , where $q_i \geq 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^k q_i \in [0, 1)$. Then f has a unique fixed point x^* . Moreover, for any arbitrary points x_1, \dots, x_{k+1} in X , sequence $\{x_n\}$ defined by $x_{n+k} = f(x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+k-1})$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ converges to x^* .

It is easy to show that for $k = 1$, Theorem 1.1 reduces to the Banach contraction principle.

Ćirić and Presić [11] generalized above theorem as follows.

Theorem 1.3. [11] Let (X, d) be a complete metric space, k a positive integer and $f : X^k \rightarrow X$. Suppose that

$$d(f(x_1, \dots, x_k), f(x_2, \dots, x_{k+1})) \leq \lambda \max\{d(x_i, x_{i+1}) : 1 \leq i \leq k\}, \quad (1.3)$$

holds for all x_1, \dots, x_{k+1} in X , where $\lambda \in [0, 1)$. Then f has a fixed point $x^* \in X$. Moreover, for any arbitrary points $x_1, \dots, x_{k+1} \in X$, the sequence $\{x_n\}$ defined by $x_{n+k} = f(x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+k-1})$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ converges to x^* . Moreover, if

$$d(f(u, \dots, u), f(v, \dots, v)) < d(u, v),$$

holds for all $u, v \in X$, with $u \neq v$, then x^* is unique fixed point of f .

The applicability of the above result to the study of global asymptotic stability of the equilibrium for the nonlinear difference equation (1.1) can be found in [10]. For further work in this direction, we refer to [2, 16, 19, 23].

On the other hand, partial metric space is a generalized metric space in which each object does not necessarily have to have a zero distance from itself [17]. A motivation behind introducing the concept of a partial metric was to obtain appropriate mathematical models in the theory of computation [13, 17, 22, 24, etc]. Altun and Simsek [4], Oltra and Valero [18] and Valero [25] established potential generalizations of the results in [17]. Romaguera [21] proved a Caristi type fixed point theorem on partial metric spaces. Further results in this direction were proved in [1, 3, 5, 6, 8, 9, 14, 15].

Recently, Geroge et al. [12] proved generalized fixed point theorem of Presic type in cone metric spaces and gave its application to Markov process.

The aim of this paper is to study the common fixed point results for mappings satisfying Presić type contractive conditions in the setup of partial metric spaces.

In the sequel the letters \mathbb{R} , \mathbb{R}^+ and \mathbb{N} will denote the set of all real numbers, the set of all nonnegative real numbers and the set of all positive integer numbers, respectively.

Consistent with [4] and [17], the following definitions and results will be needed in the sequel.

Definition 1.4. Let X be a nonempty set. A function $p : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is said to be a partial metric on X if for any $x, y, z \in X$, the following conditions hold true:

- (P₁): $p(x, x) = p(y, y) = p(x, y)$ if and only if $x = y$;
- (P₂): $p(x, x) \leq p(x, y)$;
- (P₃): $p(x, y) = p(y, x)$;
- (P₄): $p(x, z) \leq p(x, y) + p(y, z) - p(y, y)$.

The pair (X, p) is then called a partial metric space.

If $p(x, y) = 0$, then (P₁) and (P₂) imply that $x = y$. But the converse does not hold always.

A trivial example of a partial metric space is the pair (\mathbb{R}^+, p) , where $p : \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is defined as $p(x, y) = \max\{x, y\}$.

Example 1.5. [17] If $X = \{[a, b] : a, b \in \mathbb{R}, a \leq b\}$, then $p([a, b], [c, d]) = \max\{b, d\} - \min\{a, c\}$ defines a partial metric p on X .

For some more examples of partial metric spaces, we refer to [4, 9, 21, 24].

Each partial metric p on X generates a T_0 topology τ_p on X which has as a base the family open p -balls $\{B_p(x, \varepsilon) : x \in X, \varepsilon > 0\}$, where $B_p(x, \varepsilon) = \{y \in X : p(x, y) < p(x, x) + \varepsilon\}$, for all $x \in X$ and $\varepsilon > 0$.

Observe (see [17, p. 187]) that a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a partial metric space (X, p) converges to a point $x \in X$, with respect to τ_p , if and only if $p(x, x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(x, x_n)$.

If p is a partial metric on X , then the function $p^S : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ given by $p^S(x, y) = 2p(x, y) - p(x, x) - p(y, y)$, defines a metric on X .

Furthermore, a sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges in (X, p^S) to a point $x \in X$ if and only if

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, x_m) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, x) = p(x, x).$$

Definition 1.6. [17]. Let (X, p) be a partial metric space.

- (a): A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to be a Cauchy sequence if $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, x_m)$ exists and is finite.
- (b): (X, p) is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X converges with respect to τ_p to a point $x \in X$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(x, x_n) = p(x, x)$. In this case, we say that the partial metric p is complete.

Lemma 1.7. [4, 17] Let (X, p) be a partial metric space. Then:

- (a): A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is a Cauchy sequence in (X, p) if and only if it is a Cauchy sequence in metric space (X, p^S) .
- (b): A partial metric space (X, p) is complete if and only if the metric space (X, p^S) is complete.

2. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we obtain some common fixed point results for self maps satisfying Presić type contractions defined on a complete partial metric space. We begin with the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1. Let (X, p) be a complete partial metric space. Suppose that $f, g : X^k \rightarrow X$ be two mappings satisfy

$$p(f(x_1, \dots, x_k), g(x_2, \dots, x_{k+1})) \leq \lambda \max\{p(x_i, x_{i+1}) : 1 \leq i \leq k\}, \quad (2.1)$$

for all x_1, \dots, x_{k+1} in X , where $\lambda \in [0, 1)$, k a positive integer. Then f has a unique fixed point x^* . Moreover, for any arbitrary points x_1, \dots, x_{k+1} in X , sequence $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ defined by $x_{n+k} = f(x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+k-1})$ converges to x^* .

Proof. Let x_1, \dots, x_{k+1} be arbitrary k elements in X . Define

$$\begin{aligned} x_{2n+k} &= f(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, \dots, x_{2n+k-1}) \text{ and} \\ x_{2n+1+k} &= g(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}, \dots, x_{2n+k}) \end{aligned}$$

for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$. First, we prove that the following inequalities holds for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$p(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) \leq \lambda^{\frac{2n}{k}} \max \left\{ \frac{p(x_i, x_{i+1})}{\lambda^{\frac{2i}{k}}} : 1 \leq i \leq k \right\}. \quad (2.2)$$

It is obvious to note that (2.2) is valid for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, k$. Now let the following k inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned} p(x_n, x_{n+1}) &\leq \lambda^{\frac{2n}{k}} \max \left\{ \frac{p(x_i, x_{i+1})}{\lambda^{\frac{2i}{k}}} : 1 \leq i \leq k \right\}, \\ p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) &\leq \lambda^{\frac{2(n+1)}{k}} \max \left\{ \frac{p(x_i, x_{i+1})}{\lambda^{\frac{2i}{k}}} : 1 \leq i \leq k \right\}, \\ &\dots, \\ p(x_{n+k-1}, x_{n+k}) &\leq \lambda^{\frac{2(n+k-1)}{k}} \max \left\{ \frac{p(x_i, x_{i+1})}{\lambda^{\frac{2i}{k}}} : 1 \leq i \leq k \right\} \end{aligned}$$

by the induction hypotheses. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} p(x_{2n+k}, x_{2n+k+1}) &= p(f(x_{2n}, \dots, x_{2n+k-1}), g(x_{2n+1}, \dots, x_{2n+k})) \\ &\leq \lambda \max \{p(x_i, x_{i+1}) : 2n \leq i \leq 2n+k-1\} \\ &\leq \lambda \cdot \lambda^{\frac{2n}{k}} \max \left\{ \frac{p(x_i, x_{i+1})}{\lambda^{\frac{2i}{k}}} : 1 \leq i \leq k \right\} \\ &= \lambda^{\frac{2n+k}{k}} \max \left\{ \frac{p(x_i, x_{i+1})}{\lambda^{\frac{2i}{k}}} : 1 \leq i \leq k \right\} \end{aligned}$$

and the inductive proof of (2.2) is complete. In similar way, we obtain

$$p(x_{2n+k+1}, x_{2n+k+2}) \leq \lambda^{\frac{2n+k+1}{k}} \max \left\{ \frac{p(x_i, x_{i+1})}{\lambda^{\frac{2i}{k}}} : 1 \leq i \leq k \right\}.$$

Hence

$$p(x_{n+k}, x_{n+k+1}) \leq \lambda^{\frac{n+k}{k}} \max \left\{ \frac{p(x_i, x_{i+1})}{\lambda^{\frac{i}{k}}} : 1 \leq i \leq k \right\}$$

for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} p_s(x_{n+k}, x_{n+k-1}) &= 2p(x_{n+k}, x_{n+k-1}) - p(x_{n+k}, x_{n+k}) - p(x_{n+k-1}, x_{n+k-1}) \\ &\leq 2p(x_{n+k}, x_{n+k-1}) + p(x_{n+k}, x_{n+k}) + p(x_{n+k-1}, x_{n+k-1}) \\ &\leq 4p(x_{n+k}, x_{n+k-1}) \\ &\leq 4\lambda^{\frac{n+k-1}{k}} \max \left\{ \frac{p(x_i, x_{i+1})}{\lambda^{\frac{i}{k}}} : 1 \leq i \leq k \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned} p_s(x_{n+k}, x_n) &\leq p_s(x_{n+k}, x_{n+k-1}) + \dots + p_s(x_{n+1}, x_n) \\ &\leq 4\lambda^n [\lambda^{k-1} + \dots + \lambda^1] \max \left\{ \frac{p(x_i, x_{i+1})}{\lambda^i} : 1 \leq i \leq k \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{4\lambda^n}{1-\lambda} \max \left\{ \frac{p(x_i, x_{i+1})}{\lambda^i} : 1 \leq i \leq k \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in (X, p_s) . By Lemma 1.7, $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in (X, p) . Now, since (X, p) is complete, there exists u in X such that $x_n \rightarrow u$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. So that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, x_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, u) = p(u, u).$$

Now, for any integer n we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& p(u, g(u, u, \dots, u)) \\
& \leq p(u, x_{2n+k}) + p(x_{2n+k}, g(u, u, \dots, u)) - p(x_{2n+k}, x_{2n+k}) \\
& = p(u, x_{2n+k}) + p(f(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, \dots, x_{2n+k-1}), g(u, u, \dots, u)) - p(x_{2n+k}, x_{2n+k}) \\
& \leq p(u, x_{2n+k}) + \lambda \max\{p(x_{2n}, u), p(x_{2n+1}, u), \dots, p(x_{2n+k-1}, u)\} - p(x_{2n+k}, x_{2n+k}).
\end{aligned}$$

On taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$p(u, g(u, u, \dots, u)) \leq \lambda p(x_{2n}, u),$$

implies $u = g(u, u, \dots, u)$. Again

$$\begin{aligned}
& p(f(u, u, \dots, u), u) \\
& \leq p(f(u, u, \dots, u), x_{2n+k+1}) + p(x_{2n+k+1}, u) - p(x_{2n+k+1}, x_{2n+k+1}) \\
& = p(f(u, u, \dots, u), g(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}, \dots, x_{2n+k})) + p(u, x_{2n+k+1}) - p(x_{2n+k+1}, x_{2n+k+1}) \\
& \leq \lambda \max\{p(u, x_{2n+1}), p(u, x_{2n+2}), \dots, p(u, x_{2n+k})\} + p(u, x_{2n+k+1}) - p(x_{2n+k+1}, x_{2n+k+1})
\end{aligned}$$

and on taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$p(f(u, u, \dots, u), u) \leq \lambda p(u, u),$$

which implies $f(u, u, \dots, u) = u$. Hence u is the common fixed point of f and g .

Now, to prove the uniqueness of u , let v be another point in X such that $v = f(v, v, \dots, v) = g(v, v, \dots, v)$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
p(u, v) &= p(f(u, u, \dots, u), g(v, v, \dots, v)) \\
&\leq \lambda p(u, v),
\end{aligned}$$

implies $u = v$. So, u is the unique common fixed point of f and g in X . □

Example 2.2. Let $X = [0, 2]$. Let $p : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ defined by $p(x, y) = |x - y|$ if $x, y \in [0, 1]$, and $p(x, y) = \max\{x, y\}$ otherwise. It is easily seen that (X, p) is a complete partial metric space. For a positive integer k , we define $f, g : X^k \rightarrow X$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
f(x_1, \dots, x_k) &= \begin{cases} \frac{x_2 + x_3 + x_k}{6}, & \text{if } x_1, \dots, x_k \in [0, 1) \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \\
g(x_1, \dots, x_k) &= \begin{cases} \frac{x_1 + x_2 + x_k}{6}, & \text{if } x_1, \dots, x_k \in [0, 1) \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

Now for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{k+1} \in [0, 1)$ and $\lambda = 1/2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
p(f(x_1, \dots, x_k), g(x_2, \dots, x_{k+1})) &= \left| \frac{x_2 + x_3 + x_k}{6} - \frac{x_2 + x_3 + x_{k+1}}{6} \right| \\
&= \frac{1}{6} |x_k - x_{k+1}| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \max\{p(x_i, x_{i+1}) : 1 \leq i \leq k\} \\
&= \lambda \max\{p(x_i, x_{i+1}) : 1 \leq i \leq k\}.
\end{aligned}$$

If for $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k \in [0, 1)$ and $x_{k+1} \in [1, 2]$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
p(f(x_1, \dots, x_k), g(x_2, \dots, x_{k+1})) &= \frac{1}{6} (x_2 + x_3 + x_k) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} x_{k+1} = \lambda \max\{p(x_i, x_{i+1}) : 1 \leq i \leq k\}.
\end{aligned}$$

When some x_j 's $\in [1, 2]$, and $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_{k+1} \in [0, 1)$, then we obtain $p(f(x_1, \dots, x_k), g(x_2, \dots, x_{k+1})) = 0$ and (2.1) is satisfied obviously. Thus the conditions of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied and there exist a unique $u = 0$ in X such that $f(u, u, \dots, u) = g(u, u, \dots, u) = u$.

Corollary 2.3. *Let (X, p) be a complete partial metric space, k a positive integer and $f, g : X^k \rightarrow X$. Suppose that*

$$p(f(x_1, \dots, x_k), g(x_2, \dots, x_{k+1})) \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i p(x_i, x_{i+1}), \quad (2.3)$$

holds for all x_1, \dots, x_{k+1} in X , where $\lambda_i \geq 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \in [0, 1)$. Then f and g have a unique fixed point x^ .*

In Theorem 2.1, take $f = g$ to obtain the following corollary which extends and generalizes the corresponding results of [20].

Corollary 2.4. *Let (X, p) be a complete partial metric space. Suppose that a mapping $f : X^k \rightarrow X$ satisfies*

$$p(f(x_1, \dots, x_k), f(x_2, \dots, x_{k+1})) \leq \lambda \max\{p(x_i, x_{i+1}) : 1 \leq i \leq k\}, \quad (2.4)$$

for all x_1, \dots, x_{k+1} in X , where $\lambda \in [0, 1)$, k a positive integer. Then f has a fixed point x^ . Moreover, for any arbitrary points x_1, \dots, x_{k+1} in X , sequence $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ defined by $x_{n+k} = f(x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+k-1})$ converges to x^* .*

If we take $f = g$ in Theorem 2.1, then the following corollary is obtained which extends and generalizes the comparable results of [11].

Corollary 2.5. *Let (X, p) be a complete partial metric space, k a positive integer and $f : X^k \rightarrow X$. Suppose that*

$$p(f(x_1, \dots, x_k), f(x_2, \dots, x_{k+1})) \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i p(x_i, x_{i+1}), \quad (2.5)$$

holds for all x_1, \dots, x_{k+1} in X , where $\lambda_i \geq 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \in [0, 1)$. Then f has a unique fixed point x^ . Moreover, for any arbitrary points x_1, \dots, x_{k+1} in X , sequence $\{x_n\}$ defined by $x_{n+k} = f(x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+k-1})$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ converges to x^* .*

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Abdeljawad, E. Karapinar and K. Tas, Existence and uniqueness of a common fixed point on partial metric spaces, Appl. Math. Lett. 24 (11) (2011), 1894-1899, doi:10.1016/j.aml.2011.05.014.
- [2] R. P. Agarwal, Difference Equations and Inequalities, New York Marcel Dekker, 1992.
- [3] I. Altun and A. Erduran, Fixed point theorems for monotone mappings on partial metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2011 (2011), article ID 508730, 10 pages.
- [4] I. Altun and H. Simsek, Some fixed point theorems on dualistic partial metric spaces, J. Adv. Math. Stud. 1 (2008), 1-8.
- [5] I. Altun, F. Sola and H. Simsek, Generalized contractions on partial metric spaces, Topology Appl. 157 (2010), 2778-2785.
- [6] H. Aydi, Some fixed point results in ordered partial metric spaces, arxiv: 1103.3680v1 [math.GN], (2011), 1-8.
- [7] S. Banach, Sur les op erations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux equations int egrales, Fund. Math. 3 (1922) 133-181.
- [8] M. Bukatin, R. Kopperman, S. Matthews and H. Pajoohesh, Partial metric spaces, Amer. Math. Monthly 116 (2009), 708-718.
- [9] M. A. Bukatin and S. Yu. Shorina, Partial metrics and co-continuous valuations, in: M. Nivat, et al. (Eds.), Foundations of Software Science and Computation Structure, in: Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 1378, Springer, 1998, pp. 125-139.
- [10] Y. Z. Chen, A Presić type contractive condition and its applications, Nonlinear Anal. 71 (2009) 2012-2017.

- [11] L. B. Ćirić and S.B. Prešić, On Prešić type generalisation of Banach contraction mapping principle, *Acta. Math.Univ. Com. LXXVI* (2) (2007) 143-147.
- [12] R. George, K.P. Reshma and R. Rajagopalan, A generalised fixed point theorem of Presic type in cone metric spaces and application to Markov process, *George et al. Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, 2011, 2011:85.
- [13] R. Heckmann, Approximation of metric spaces by partial metric spaces, *Appl. Cat. Struct.* 7 (1999), 71-83.
- [14] E. Karapinar, Generalizations of Caristi Kirk's theorem on partial metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2011:4, (2011) doi:10.1186/1687-1812-2011-4.
- [15] E. Karapinar and I. M. Erhan, Fixed point theorems for operators on partial metric spaces, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 24 (2011), 1894-1899.
- [16] V. Lakshmikantham and D. Frigante, *Theory of difference equations*, New York Academic, 1990.
- [17] S. G. Matthews, Partial metric topology, in: *Proc. 8th Summer Conference on General Topology and Applications*, *Ann. New York Acad. Sci.* 728 (1994), 183-197.
- [18] S. Oltra and O. Valero, Banach's fixed theorem for partial metric spaces, *Rend. Istit. Mat. Univ. Trieste* 36 (2004), 17-26.
- [19] M. Pacurar, Fixed points of almost Persic operators by a k -step iterative method, *An. S tiint. Univ. Al. I. Cuza Ia si. Mat. (N.S.) Tomul LVII*, 2011, Supliment, DOI: 10.2478/v10157-011-0014-3.
- [20] S.B. Prešić, Sur une classe d'in equations aux differences nies et sur la convergence de certaines suites, *Pub. de l'Inst. Math. Belgrade.* 5 (19) (1965) 75-78.
- [21] S. Romaguera, A Kirk type characterization of completeness for partial metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, 2010 (2010), article ID 493298, 6 pages.
- [22] S. Romaguera and O. Valero, A quantitative computational model for complete partial metric spaces via formal balls, *Math. Struct. Comput. Sci.* 19 (2009), 541-563.
- [23] I. A. Rus, An abstract point of view in the nonlinear difference equations, *Editura Carpatica, Cluj-Napoca.* (1999) 272-276.
- [24] M. P. Schellekens, The correspondence between partial metrics and semivaluations, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* 315 (2004), 135-149.
- [25] O. Valero, On Banach fixed point theorems for partial metric spaces, *Appl. Gen. Top.* 6 (2005), 229-240.