

ON A HALF-DISCRETE REVERSE MULHOLLAND'S INEQUALITY

BICHENG YANG*

Department of Mathematics, Guangdong University of Education, Guangzhou, Guangdong 510303, P.
 R. China

ABSTRACT. By using the way of weight functions and the technique of real analysis, a half-discrete reverse Mulholland's Inequality with a best constant factor is given. The extension with multi-parameters and the equivalent forms are also considered.

KEYWORDS : Mulholland's inequality; Weight function; Equivalent form; Reverse.

AMS Subject Classification: 26D15.

1. INTRODUCTION

Assuming that $p > 1, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1, f(\geq 0) \in L^p(0, \infty), g(\geq 0) \in L^q(0, \infty), \|f\|_p = \{\int_0^\infty f^p(x)dx\}^{\frac{1}{p}} > 0, \|g\|_q > 0$, we have the following Hardy-Hilbert's integral inequality (cf. [3]):

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)g(y)}{x+y} dx dy < \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)} \|f\|_p \|g\|_q, \quad (1.1)$$

where the constant factor $\frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)}$ is the best possible. If $a_m, b_n \geq 0, a = \{a_m\}_{m=1}^\infty \in l^p, b = \{b_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in l^q, \|a\|_p = \{\sum_{m=1}^\infty a_m^p\}^{\frac{1}{p}} > 0, \|b\|_q > 0$, then we still have the following discrete Hardy-Hilbert's inequality with the same best constant factor $\frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)}$:

$$\sum_{m=1}^\infty \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{a_m b_n}{m+n} < \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)} \|a\|_p \|b\|_q. \quad (1.2)$$

Inequalities (1.1) and (1.2) are important in analysis and its applications (cf. [10], [13], [18]). Also we have the following Mulholland's inequality with the same best constant factor $\frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)}$ (cf. [3], [15]):

$$\sum_{m=2}^\infty \sum_{n=2}^\infty \frac{a_m b_n}{\ln mn} < \frac{\pi}{\sin(\frac{\pi}{p})} \left\{ \sum_{m=2}^\infty m^{p-1} a_m^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \sum_{n=2}^\infty n^{q-1} b_n^q \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}. \quad (1.3)$$

* Corresponding author.

Email address : bcyang@gdei.edu.cn(B. Yang).

Article history : Received 29 February 2012. Accepted 8 May 2012.

In 1998, by introducing an independent parameter $\lambda \in (0, 1]$, Yang [11] gave an extension of (1.1) (for $p = q = 2$). Refinement the results of [11], Yang [14] gave some best extensions of (1.1) and (1.2) as follows: If $\lambda, \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbf{R}$, $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \lambda$, $k_\lambda(x, y)$ is a non-negative homogeneous function of degree $-\lambda$, $k(\lambda_1) = \int_0^\infty k_\lambda(t, 1)t^{\lambda_1-1}dt \in \mathbf{R}_+$, $\phi(x) = x^{p(1-\lambda_1)-1}$, $\psi(x) = x^{q(1-\lambda_2)-1}$, $f(\geq 0) \in L_{p,\phi}(0, \infty) = \{f \mid \|f\|_{p,\phi} := \{\int_0^\infty \phi(x)|f(x)|^p dx\}^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty\}$, $g(\geq 0) \in L_{q,\psi}(0, \infty)$, $\|f\|_{p,\phi}, \|g\|_{q,\psi} > 0$, then we have

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty k_\lambda(x, y)f(x)g(y)dxdy < k(\lambda_1)\|f\|_{p,\phi}\|g\|_{q,\psi}, \quad (1.4)$$

where the constant factor $k(\lambda_1)$ is the best possible. Moreover if $k_\lambda(x, y)$ is also finite and $k_\lambda(x, y)x^{\lambda_1-1}(k_\lambda(x, y)y^{\lambda_2-1})$ is decreasing for $x > 0(y > 0)$, then for $a_m, b_n \geq 0$, $a = \{a_m\}_{m=1}^\infty \in l_{p,\phi} = \{a \mid \|a\|_{p,\phi} := \{\sum_{n=1}^\infty \phi(n)|a_n|^p\}^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty\}$, $b = \{b_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in l_{q,\psi}$, $\|a\|_{p,\phi}, \|b\|_{q,\psi} > 0$, we have

$$\sum_{m=1}^\infty \sum_{n=1}^\infty k_\lambda(m, n)a_m b_n < k(\lambda_1)\|a\|_{p,\phi}\|b\|_{q,\psi}, \quad (1.5)$$

where the constant factor $k(\lambda_1)$ is the best possible. For $\lambda = 1$, $k_1(x, y) = \frac{1}{x+y}$, $\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{q}$, $\lambda_2 = \frac{1}{p}$, (1.4) reduces to (1.1), and (1.5) reduces to (1.2). Some other results including the reverse Hilbert-type inequalities are provided by [19]-[9].

On half-discrete Hilbert-type inequalities with the non-homogeneous kernels, Hardy et al. provided a few results in Theorem 351 of [3]. But they did not prove that the the constant factors in the inequalities are the best possible. And Yang [12] gave a result by introducing an interval variable and proved that the constant factor is the best possible. Recently, Yang [17] gave a half-discrete Hilbert's inequality and [16] gave the following half-discrete reverse Hilbert-type inequality with the best constant factor 4:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty f(x) \sum_{n=1}^\infty \min\{x, n\}a_n dx &> 4 \left\{ \int_0^\infty (1 - \theta_1(x))x^{\frac{3p}{2}-1}f^p(x)dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\times \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^\infty n^{\frac{3q}{2}-1}a_n^q \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} \quad (\theta_1(x) \in (0, 1)). \end{aligned} \quad (1.6)$$

In this paper, by using the way of weight functions and the technique of real analysis, a half-discrete reverse Mulholland's inequality with a best constant factor is given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_1^\infty f(x) \sum_{n=2}^\infty \frac{a_n}{\ln xn} dx &> \pi \left\{ \int_1^\infty \frac{1 - \theta_1(x)}{(\ln x)^{1-\frac{p}{2}}} x^{p-1} f^p(x) dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\times \left\{ \sum_{n=2}^\infty \frac{n^{q-1}}{(\ln n)^{1-\frac{q}{2}}} a_n^q \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} \quad (\theta_1(x) \in (0, 1)). \end{aligned} \quad (1.7)$$

A best extension of (1.7) with multi-parameters, some equivalent forms are considered.

2. SOME LEMMAS

Lemma 2.1. If $\lambda, \lambda_1 > 0, 0 < \lambda_2 \leq 1, \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \lambda$, setting weight functions $\omega(n)$ and $\varpi(x)$ as follows:

$$\omega(n) \quad : \quad = (\ln n)^{\lambda_2} \int_1^\infty \frac{1}{x(\ln xn)^\lambda} (\ln x)^{\lambda_1-1} dx, n \in \mathbf{N} \setminus \{1\}, \quad (2.1)$$

$$\varpi(x) := (\ln x)^{\lambda_1} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(\ln xn)^{\lambda}} (\ln n)^{\lambda_2-1}, x \in (1, \infty), \quad (2.2)$$

then we have

$$B(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)(1 - \theta_{\lambda}(x)) < \varpi(x) < \omega(n) = B(\lambda_1, \lambda_2), \quad (2.3)$$

where $\theta_{\lambda}(x) := \frac{1}{B(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)} \int_0^{\frac{1}{\ln x}} \frac{t^{\lambda_2-1}}{(1+t)^{\lambda}} dt \in (0, 1)$ and $\theta_{\lambda}(x) = O(\frac{1}{(\ln x)^{\lambda_2}})(x \in (1, \infty))$.

Proof. Setting $t = \frac{\ln x}{\ln n}$ in (2.1), by calculation, we have

$$\omega(n) = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1+t)^{\lambda}} t^{\lambda_1-1} dt = B(\lambda_1, \lambda_2).$$

Since for fixed $x > 1$,

$$h(x, y) := \frac{1}{y(\ln xy)^{\lambda}} (\ln y)^{\lambda_2-1} = \frac{1}{y(\ln x + \ln y)^{\lambda} (\ln y)^{1-\lambda_2}}$$

is strictly decreasing for $y \in (1, \infty)$, then we find

$$\begin{aligned} \varpi(x) &< (\ln x)^{\lambda_1} \int_1^{\infty} \frac{1}{y(\ln xy)^{\lambda}} (\ln y)^{\lambda_2-1} dy \\ &\stackrel{t=(\ln y)/(\ln x)}{=} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{t^{\lambda_2-1}}{(1+t)^{\lambda}} dt = B(\lambda_2, \lambda_1) = B(\lambda_1, \lambda_2), \\ \varpi(x) &> (\ln x)^{\lambda_1} \int_e^{\infty} \frac{(\ln y)^{\lambda_2-1}}{y(\ln xy)^{\lambda}} dy = \int_{\frac{1}{\ln x}}^{\infty} \frac{t^{\lambda_2-1}}{(1+t)^{\lambda}} dt \\ &= B(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)(1 - \theta_{\lambda}(x)) > 0, \\ 0 &< \theta_{\lambda}(x) = \frac{1}{B(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)} \int_0^{\frac{1}{\ln x}} \frac{t^{\lambda_2-1}}{(1+t)^{\lambda}} dt \\ &< \frac{1}{B(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)} \int_0^{\frac{1}{\ln x}} t^{\lambda_2-1} dt = \frac{1}{\lambda_2 B(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)} \frac{1}{(\ln x)^{\lambda_2}}, \end{aligned}$$

and then (2.3) is valid. The lemma is proved. \square

Lemma 2.2. Let the assumptions of Lemma 2.1 be fulfilled and additionally, $0 < p < 1$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, $a_n \geq 0$, $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$, $f(x)$ is a non-negative measurable function in $(1, \infty)$. Then we have the following inequalities (Note: in this paper, if $a_n = 0$, then we think $a_n^q = 0$ ($q < 0$)):

$$\begin{aligned} J &:= \left\{ \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(\ln n)^{p\lambda_2-1}}{n} \left[\int_1^{\infty} \frac{f(x)}{(\ln xn)^{\lambda}} dx \right]^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\geq [B(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)]^{\frac{1}{q}} \left\{ \int_1^{\infty} \varpi(x) x^{p-1} (\ln x)^{p(1-\lambda_1)-1} f^p(x) dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad (2.4) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} L_1 &:= \left\{ \int_1^{\infty} \frac{(\ln x)^{q\lambda_1-1}}{x[\varpi(x)]^{q-1}} \left[\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{(\ln xn)^{\lambda}} \right]^q dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\geq \left\{ B(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{q-1} (\ln n)^{q(1-\lambda_2)-1} a_n^q \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}. \quad (2.5) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. (i) By the reverse Hölder's inequality with weight (cf. [7]) and (2.3), it follows

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left[\int_1^\infty \frac{f(x)dx}{(\ln xn)^\lambda} \right]^p &= \left\{ \int_1^\infty \frac{1}{(\ln xn)^\lambda} \left[\frac{(\ln x)^{(1-\lambda_1)/q} x^{1/q}}{(\ln n)^{(1-\lambda_2)/p} n^{1/p}} f(x) \right] \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \times \left[\frac{(\ln n)^{(1-\lambda_2)/p} n^{1/p}}{(\ln x)^{(1-\lambda_1)/q} x^{1/q}} \right] dx \right\}^p \\
 &\geq \int_1^\infty \frac{1}{(\ln xn)^\lambda} \frac{x^{p-1} (\ln x)^{(1-\lambda_1)(p-1)}}{n (\ln n)^{1-\lambda_2}} f^p(x) dx \\
 &\quad \times \left\{ \int_1^\infty \frac{1}{(\ln xn)^\lambda} \frac{n^{q-1} (\ln n)^{(1-\lambda_2)(q-1)}}{x (\ln x)^{1-\lambda_1}} dx \right\}^{p-1} \\
 &= \left\{ \omega(n) \frac{(\ln n)^{q(1-\lambda_2)-1}}{n^{1-q}} \right\}^{p-1} \int_1^\infty \frac{x^{p-1} (\ln x)^{(1-\lambda_1)(p-1)}}{n (\ln xn)^\lambda (\ln n)^{1-\lambda_2}} f^p(x) dx \\
 &= \frac{[B(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)]^{p-1} n}{(\ln n)^{p\lambda_2-1}} \int_1^\infty \frac{x^{p-1} (\ln x)^{(1-\lambda_1)(p-1)}}{n (\ln xn)^\lambda (\ln n)^{1-\lambda_2}} f^p(x) dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then by Lebesgue term by term integration theorem (cf. [6]), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 J &\geq [B(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)]^{\frac{1}{q}} \left\{ \sum_{n=2}^\infty \int_1^\infty \frac{x^{p-1} (\ln x)^{(1-\lambda_1)(p-1)}}{n (\ln xn)^\lambda (\ln n)^{1-\lambda_2}} f^p(x) dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
 &= [B(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)]^{\frac{1}{q}} \left\{ \int_1^\infty \sum_{n=2}^\infty \frac{x^{p-1} (\ln x)^{(1-\lambda_1)(p-1)}}{n (\ln xn)^\lambda (\ln n)^{1-\lambda_2}} f^p(x) dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
 &= [B(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)]^{\frac{1}{q}} \left\{ \int_1^\infty \varpi(x) x^{p-1} (\ln x)^{p(1-\lambda_1)-1} f^p(x) dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}},
 \end{aligned}$$

and (2.4) follows. Still by the reverse Hölder's inequality with weight ($q < 0$), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left[\sum_{n=2}^\infty \frac{a_n}{(\ln xn)^\lambda} \right]^q &= \left\{ \sum_{n=2}^\infty \frac{1}{(\ln xn)^\lambda} \left[\frac{(\ln x)^{(1-\lambda_1)/q} x^{1/q}}{(\ln n)^{(1-\lambda_2)/p} n^{1/p}} \right] \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \times \left[\frac{(\ln n)^{(1-\lambda_2)/p} n^{1/p}}{(\ln x)^{(1-\lambda_1)/q} x^{1/q}} a_n \right] \right\}^q \\
 &\leq \left\{ \sum_{n=2}^\infty \frac{1}{(\ln xn)^\lambda} \frac{x^{p-1} (\ln x)^{(1-\lambda_1)(p-1)}}{n (\ln n)^{1-\lambda_2}} \right\}^{q-1} \\
 &\quad \times \sum_{n=2}^\infty \frac{1}{(\ln xn)^\lambda} \frac{n^{q-1} (\ln n)^{(1-\lambda_2)(q-1)}}{x (\ln x)^{1-\lambda_1}} a_n^q \\
 &= \frac{x[\varpi(x)]^{q-1}}{(\ln x)^{q\lambda_1-1}} \sum_{n=2}^\infty \frac{(\ln x)^{\lambda_1-1}}{x (\ln xn)^\lambda} n^{q-1} (\ln n)^{(q-1)(1-\lambda_2)} a_n^q.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then by Lebesgue term by term integration theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 L_1 &\geq \left\{ \int_1^\infty \sum_{n=2}^\infty \frac{(\ln x)^{\lambda_1-1}}{x (\ln xn)^\lambda} n^{q-1} (\ln n)^{(q-1)(1-\lambda_2)} a_n^q dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
 &= \left\{ \sum_{n=2}^\infty \left[(\ln n)^{\lambda_2} \int_1^\infty \frac{(\ln x)^{\lambda_1-1}}{x (\ln xn)^\lambda} dx \right] n^{q-1} (\ln n)^{q(1-\lambda_2)-1} a_n^q \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$= \left\{ \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \omega(n) n^{q-1} (\ln n)^{q(1-\lambda_2)-1} a_n^q \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

and then in view of (2.3), inequality (2.5) follows. \square

3. MAIN RESULTS

In the following, for $0 < p < 1, q < 0$, we still use the normal expressions of $\|f\|_{p,\Phi}$ and $\|a\|_{q,\Psi}$. Setting $\Phi(x) := (1 - \theta_\lambda(x))x^{p-1}(\ln x)^{p(1-\lambda_1)-1} (x \in (1, \infty))$, $\Psi(n) := n^{q-1}(\ln n)^{q(1-\lambda_2)-1} (n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\})$, we have

$$[\Phi(x)]^{1-q} = \frac{(\ln x)^{q\lambda_1-1}}{x(1-\theta_\lambda(x))^{q-1}}, [\Psi(n)]^{1-p} = \frac{(\ln n)^{p\lambda_2-1}}{n}$$

and

Theorem 3.1. *If $0 < p < 1, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1, \lambda_1 > 0, 0 < \lambda_2 \leq 1, \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \lambda, f(x), a_n \geq 0, f \in L_{p,\Phi}(1, \infty), a = \{a_n\}_{n=2}^{\infty} \in l_{q,\Psi}, \|f\|_{p,\Phi} > 0$ and $\|a\|_{q,\Psi} > 0$, then we have the following equivalent inequalities:*

$$\begin{aligned} I &:= \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \int_1^{\infty} \frac{f(x)}{(\ln xn)^\lambda} dx \\ &= \int_1^{\infty} f(x) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{(\ln xn)^\lambda} dx > B(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \|f\|_{p,\Phi} \|a\|_{q,\Psi}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

$$J = \left\{ \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(\ln n)^{p\lambda_2-1}}{n} \left[\int_1^{\infty} \frac{f(x)}{(\ln xn)^\lambda} dx \right]^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} > B(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \|f\|_{p,\Phi}, \quad (3.2)$$

$$L := \left\{ \int_1^{\infty} \frac{(\ln x)^{q\lambda_1-1}}{x(1-\theta_\lambda(x))^{q-1}} \left[\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{(\ln xn)^\lambda} \right]^q dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} > B(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \|a\|_{q,\Psi}, \quad (3.3)$$

where the constant factor $B(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ in the above inequalities is the best possible.

Proof. By Lebesgue term by term integration theorem, there are two expressions for I in (3.1). In view of (2.4), for $\varpi(x) > B(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)(1 - \theta_\lambda(x))$, we have (3.2). By the reverse Hölder's inequality, we have

$$I = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[\Psi^{\frac{-1}{q}}(n) \int_1^{\infty} \frac{1}{(\ln xn)^\lambda} f(x) dx \right] [\Psi^{\frac{1}{q}}(n) a_n] \geq J \|a\|_{q,\Psi}. \quad (3.4)$$

Then by (3.2), we have (3.1). On the other-hand, assuming that (3.1) is valid, setting

$$a_n := [\Psi(n)]^{1-p} \left[\int_1^{\infty} \frac{1}{(\ln xn)^\lambda} f(x) dx \right]^{p-1}, n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\},$$

then $J^{p-1} = \|a\|_{q,\Psi}$. By (2.4), we find $J > 0$. If $J = \infty$, then (3.2) is naturally valid; if $J < \infty$, then by (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|a\|_{q,\Psi}^q &= J^p = I > B(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \|f\|_{p,\Phi} \|a\|_{q,\Psi}, \\ \|a\|_{q,\Psi}^{q-1} &= J > B(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \|f\|_{p,\Phi}, \end{aligned}$$

and we have (3.2), which is equivalent to (3.1).

In view of (2.5), for $[\varpi(x)]^{1-q} > [B(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)(1 - \theta_\lambda(x))]^{1-q}$, we have (3.3). By the reverse Hölder's inequality, we find

$$I = \int_1^\infty [\Phi^{\frac{1}{p}}(x)f(x)] \left[\Phi^{\frac{-1}{p}}(x) \sum_{n=2}^\infty \frac{1}{(\ln xn)^\lambda} a_n \right] dx \geq \|f\|_{p,\Phi} L. \quad (3.5)$$

Then by (3.3), we have (3.1). On the other-hand, assuming that (3.1) is valid, setting

$$f(x) := [\Phi(x)]^{1-q} \left[\sum_{n=2}^\infty \frac{1}{(\ln xn)^\lambda} a_n \right]^{q-1}, \quad x \in (1, \infty),$$

then $L^{q-1} = \|f\|_{p,\Phi}$. By (2.5), we find $L > 0$. If $L = \infty$, then (3.3) is naturally valid; if $L < \infty$, then by (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{p,\Phi}^p &= L^q = I > B(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \|f\|_{p,\Phi} \|a\|_{q,\Psi}, \\ \|f\|_{p,\Phi}^{p-1} &= L > B(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \|a\|_{q,\Psi}, \end{aligned}$$

and we have (3.3) which is equivalent to (3.1). Hence inequalities (3.1), (3.2) and (3.3) are equivalent.

For $0 < \varepsilon < p\lambda_1$, setting $\tilde{f}(x) = 0, x \in (1, e); \tilde{f}(x) = \frac{1}{x}(\ln x)^{\lambda_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p} - 1}, x \in [e, \infty)$, and $\tilde{a}_n = \frac{1}{n}(\ln n)^{\lambda_2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{q} - 1}, n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$, if there exists a positive number $k(\geq B(\lambda_1, \lambda_2))$, such that (3.1) is valid as we replace $B(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ by k , then in particular, it follows

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{I} &:= \sum_{n=2}^\infty \int_1^\infty \frac{1}{(\ln xn)^\lambda} \tilde{a}_n \tilde{f}(x) dx > k \| \tilde{f} \|_{p,\Phi} \| \tilde{a} \|_{q,\Psi} \\ &= k \left\{ \int_e^\infty \left(1 - O\left(\frac{1}{(\ln x)^{\lambda_2}}\right) \right) \frac{dx}{x(\ln x)^{\varepsilon+1}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\quad \times \left\{ \frac{1}{2(\ln 2)^{\varepsilon+1}} + \sum_{n=3}^\infty \frac{1}{n(\ln n)^{\varepsilon+1}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &> k \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} - O(1) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2(\ln 2)^{\varepsilon+1}} + \int_2^\infty \frac{1}{y(\ln y)^{\varepsilon+1}} dy \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &= \frac{k}{\varepsilon} (1 - \varepsilon O(1))^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \frac{\varepsilon}{2(\ln 2)^{\varepsilon+1}} + \frac{1}{(\ln 2)^\varepsilon} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{I} &= \sum_{n=2}^\infty (\ln n)^{\lambda_2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{q} - 1} \frac{1}{n} \int_e^\infty \frac{1}{x(\ln xn)^\lambda} (\ln x)^{\lambda_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p} - 1} dx \\ &\stackrel{t=(\ln x)/(\ln n)}{=} \sum_{n=2}^\infty \frac{1}{n(\ln n)^{\varepsilon+1}} \int_{1/\ln n}^\infty \frac{1}{(t+1)^\lambda} t^{\lambda_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p} - 1} dt \\ &\leq \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(t+1)^\lambda} t^{\lambda_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p} - 1} dt \left[\frac{1}{2(\ln 2)^{\varepsilon+1}} + \sum_{n=3}^\infty \frac{1}{n(\ln n)^{\varepsilon+1}} \right] \\ &\leq B\left(\lambda_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p}, \lambda_2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{p}\right) \left[\frac{1}{2(\ln 2)^{\varepsilon+1}} + \int_2^\infty \frac{dy}{y(\ln y)^{\varepsilon+1}} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon} B\left(\lambda_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p}, \lambda_2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{p}\right) \left[\frac{\varepsilon}{2(\ln 2)^{\varepsilon+1}} + \frac{1}{(\ln 2)^\varepsilon} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Hence by (3.6) and (3.7), it follows

$$\begin{aligned} & B(\lambda_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p}, \lambda_2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{p}) \left[\frac{\varepsilon}{2(\ln 2)^{\varepsilon+1}} + \frac{1}{(\ln 2)^{\varepsilon}} \right] \\ & > k(1 - \varepsilon O(1))^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \frac{\varepsilon}{2(\ln 2)^{\varepsilon+1}} + \frac{1}{(\ln 2)^{\varepsilon}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

and $B(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \geq k(\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+)$. Hence $k = B(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ is the best value of (3.1).

We confirm that the constant factor $B(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ in (3.2) ((3.3)) is the best possible. Otherwise we can come to a contradiction by (3.4) ((3.5)) that the constant factor in (3.1) is not the best possible. \square

Remark 3.1. For $\lambda = 1, \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \frac{1}{2}$ in (3.1), (3.2) and (3.3), we have (1.7) and the following equivalent inequalities:

$$\left\{ \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(\ln n)^{\frac{p}{2}-1}}{n} \left[\int_1^{\infty} \frac{f(x)dx}{\ln xn} \right]^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} > \pi \left\{ \int_1^{\infty} \frac{(1 - \theta_1(x))x^{p-1}}{(\ln x)^{1-\frac{p}{2}}} f^p(x)dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad (3.9)$$

$$\left\{ \int_1^{\infty} \frac{(\ln x)^{\frac{q}{2}-1}}{x(1 - \theta_1(x))^{q-1}} \left[\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{\ln xn} \right]^q dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} > \pi \left\{ \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n^{q-1}}{(\ln n)^{1-\frac{q}{2}}} a_n^q \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}. \quad (3.10)$$

Acknowledgments. This work is supported by Guangdong Science and Technology Plan Item (No. 2010B010600018).

REFERENCES

1. B. Arpad, O. Choonghong, Best constant for certain multilinear integral operator, *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*. (2006)
2. L. Azar, On some extensions of Hardy-Hilbert's inequality and Applications, *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*. (2009)
3. G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood, G. Pólya, *Inequalities*, Cambridge University Press. Cambridge. (1934).
4. J. Jin, L. Debnath, On a Hilbert-type linear series operator and its applications, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*. 371(2010) 691-704.
5. M. Krnić, J. Pečarić, Hilbert's inequalities and their reverses, *Publ. Math. Debrecen*. 67(2005) 315-331.
6. J. Kuang, *Introduction to real analysis*, Hunan Education Press, Chansha. (1996).
7. J. Kuang, *Applied inequalities*, Shangdong Science Technic Press, Jinan, (2004).
8. J. Kuang, L. Debnath, On Hilbert's type inequalities on the weighted Orlicz spaces, *pacific J. Appl. Math.* 1(2007) 95-103.
9. Y. Li, B. He, On inequalities of Hilbert's type, *Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society*. 76(2007) 1-13.
10. D. S. Mitrinović, J.E. Pečarić, A. M. Fink, *Inequalities involving functions and their integrals and derivatives*, Kluwer Academic Publishers. Boston. (1991).
11. B. Yang, On Hilbert's integral inequality, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*. 220(1998) 778-785.
12. B. Yang, A mixed Hilbert-type inequality with a best constant factor, *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*. 20(2005) 319-328.
13. B. Yang, *Hilbert-type integral inequalities*, Bentham Science Publishers Ltd. (2009).
14. B. Yang, *The norm of operator and Hilbert-type inequalities*, Science Press. Bejin. (2009).
15. B. Yang, An extension of Mulholland's inequality, *Jordan Journal of Mathematics and Statistics*. 3(2010) 151-157.
16. B. Yang, A half-discrete reverse Hilbert-type inequality with a homogeneous kernel of positive degree, *Journal of Zhanjiang Normal College*. 32(2011) 5-9.
17. B. Yang, A half-discrete Hilbert's inequality, *Journal of Guangdong University of Education*. 31(2011) 1-7.
18. B. Yang, *Discrete Hilbert-type inequalities*, Bentham Science Publishers Ltd. (2011)

19. B. Yang, I. Brnetić, M. Krić, J. Pečarić, Generalization of Hilbert and Hardy-Hilbert integral inequalities, *Math. Ineq. and Appl.* 8(2005) 259-272.
20. B. Yang, T. Rassias, On the way of weight coefficient and research for Hilbert-type inequalities, *Math. Ineq. Appl.* 6(2003) 625-658.
21. W. Zhong, The Hilbert-type integral inequality with a homogeneous kernel of Lambda-degree, *Journal of Inequalities and Applications.* (2008)