

APPROXIMATION METHODS FOR FINITE FAMILY OF GENERALIZED NONEXPANSIVE MULTIVALUED MAPPINGS IN BANACH SPACES

MOHAMMAD ESLAMIAN * AND ALI ABKAR

Department of Mathematics, Imam Khomeini International University, Qazvin 34149, Iran

ABSTRACT. In the present paper, we define and study two new finite-step iterative process for a finite family of generalized nonexpansive multivalued mappings in Banach spaces. Several convergence theorems of the proposed iterations are established for this mappings.

KEYWORDS : Common fixed point; Generalized nonexpansive multivalued mapping; Iterative process, Convergence theorem.

AMS Subject Classification: 47H10, 47H09.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let D be a nonempty subset of a Banach space X . Let $T : D \longrightarrow D$ be a singlevalued mapping. The Mann iteration process (see [1]), starting from $x_0 \in D$, is the sequence $\{x_n\}$ defined by

$$x_{n+1} = a_n x_n + (1 - a_n)Tx_n, \quad a_n \in [0, 1], n \geq 0,$$

where a_n satisfies certain conditions. The Ishikawa iteration process (see [2]), starting from $x_0 \in D$, is the sequence $\{x_n\}$ defined by

$$\begin{cases} y_n = b_n x_n + (1 - b_n)Tx_n, & b_n \in [0, 1], n \geq 0, \\ x_{n+1} = a_n x_n + (1 - a_n)Ty_n, & a_n \in [0, 1], n \geq 0, \end{cases}$$

where a_n and b_n satisfy certain conditions.

Recently, Agarwal et al. [3] introduced the following iterative process which is both faster than and independent of the Ishikawa process.

$$\begin{cases} x_0 = x \in D, \\ y_n = b_n x_n + (1 - b_n)Tx_n, & b_n \in [0, 1], n \geq 0, \\ x_{n+1} = a_n Tx_n + (1 - a_n)Ty_n, & a_n \in [0, 1], n \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

* Corresponding author.

Email address : eslamian@ikiu.ac.ir, mhmdeslamian@gmail.com (M. Eslamian) and abkar@ikiu.ac.ir (A. Abkar).

Article history : Received 7 September 2011. Accepted 17 October 2011.

In the recent years, iterative process for approximating fixed point of nonexpansive multivalued mappings have been investigated by various authors (see, e.g., [4-11]) using the Mann iteration process or the Ishikawa iteration process.

In this paper, inspired by [3] we introduce two new iterative process for a finite family of generalized nonexpansive multivalued mappings in Banach spaces. Weak and strong convergence theorems of the proposed iteration processes to a common fixed point of a finite family of generalized nonexpansive multivalued mappings in uniformly convex Banach spaces are established. Our results are new even for single valued mappings.

2. PRELIMINARIES

A Banach space X is said to satisfy Opial's condition if $x_n \rightarrow z$ weakly as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $z \neq y$ imply that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - z\| < \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - y\|.$$

All Hilbert spaces, all finite dimensional Banach spaces and ℓ^p ($1 \leq p < \infty$) have the Opial property.

A subset $D \subset X$ is called proximal if for each $x \in X$, there exists an element $y \in D$ such that

$$\|x - y\| = \text{dist}(x, D) = \inf\{\|x - z\| : z \in D\}.$$

It is known that every closed convex subset of a uniformly convex Banach space is proximal.

We denote by $CB(D)$, $K(D)$ and $P(D)$ the collection of all nonempty closed bounded subsets, nonempty compact subsets, and nonempty proximal bounded subsets of D respectively. The Hausdorff metric H on $CB(X)$ is defined by

$$H(A, B) := \max\left\{\sup_{x \in A} \text{dist}(x, B), \sup_{y \in B} \text{dist}(y, A)\right\},$$

for all $A, B \in CB(X)$.

Let $T : X \rightarrow 2^X$ be a multivalued mapping. An element $x \in X$ is said to be a fixed point of T , if $x \in Tx$. The set of fixed points of T will be denote by $F(T)$.

Definition 2.1. A multivalued mapping $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ is called

(i) nonexpansive if

$$H(Tx, Ty) \leq \|x - y\|, \quad x, y \in X.$$

(ii) quasi-nonexpansive if $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ and $H(Tx, Tp) \leq \|x - p\|$ for all $x \in X$ and all $p \in F(T)$.

J. Garcia et al. [12] introduced a new condition on singlevalued mappings, called condition (E), which is weaker than nonexpansiveness. Very recently, Abkar and Eslamian [13] used a modified condition for multivalued mappings as follows:

Definition 2.2. A multivalued mapping $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ is said to satisfy condition (E_μ) provided that

$$\text{dist}(x, Ty) \leq \mu \text{dist}(x, Tx) + \|x - y\|, \quad x, y \in X.$$

We say that T satisfies condition (E) whenever T satisfies (E_μ) for some $\mu \geq 1$.

Lemma 2.3. Let $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be a multivalued nonexpansive mapping. Then T satisfies the condition (E_1) .

The proof of the following Lemma is similar to that of Theorem 3.3 in [10], hence we omit the details.

Lemma 2.4. ([10]) *Let D be a nonempty closed convex subset of uniformly convex Banach space X with the Opial property. Let $T : D \longrightarrow K(D)$ be a multivalued mapping satisfying the condition (E). If $x_n \longrightarrow x$ weakly and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{dist}(x_n, Tx_n) = 0$, then $x \in Tx$.*

The following Lemma can be found in [14].

Lemma 2.5. *Let X be a Banach space. Then X is uniformly convex if and only if for any given number $r > 0$ there exists a continuous, strictly increasing and convex function $\varphi : [0, \infty) \longrightarrow [0, \infty)$ with $\varphi(0) = 0$ such that*

$$\| \alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y \|^2 \leq \alpha \|x\|^2 + (1 - \alpha) \|y\|^2 - \alpha(1 - \alpha)\varphi(\|x - y\|),$$

for all $x, y \in B_r(0) = \{x \in X : \|x\| \leq r\}$, and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.

Definition 2.6. Let D be a nonempty closed subset of a Banach space X . A mapping $T : D \longrightarrow CB(D)$ is hemi-compact if for any bounded sequence $\{x_n\}$ in D such that $\text{dist}(Tx_n, x_n) \longrightarrow 0$ as $n \longrightarrow \infty$, there exists a subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ such that $x_{n_k} \longrightarrow p \in D$. We note that if D is compact, then every multivalued mapping $T : D \longrightarrow CB(D)$ is hemi-compact.

3. CONVERGENCE THEOREMS

Let D be a nonempty convex subset of a Banach space X , let T_1, T_2, \dots, T_m be finite multivalued mappings from D into $CB(D)$. Let $\{x_n\}$ be the sequence defined by $x_1 \in D$ and

$$(A) : \begin{cases} y_{n,1} = (1 - a_{n,1})x_n + a_{n,1}z_{n,1}, \\ y_{n,2} = (1 - a_{n,2})z_{n,1} + a_{n,2}z_{n,2}, \\ \dots \\ y_{n,m-1} = (1 - a_{n,m-1})z_{n,m-2} + a_{n,m-1}z_{n,m-1}, \\ x_{n+1} = (1 - a_{n,m})z_{n,m-1} + a_{n,m}z_{n,m}, \quad n \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

where $z_{n,1} \in T_1(x_n)$, $z_{n,k} \in T_k(y_{n,k-1})$ for $k = 2, \dots, m$, and $\{a_{n,i}\} \in [0, 1]$.

Definition 3.1. A mapping $T : D \longrightarrow CB(D)$ is said to satisfy condition (I) if there is a nondecreasing function $g : [0, \infty) \longrightarrow [0, \infty)$ with $g(0) = 0$, $g(r) > 0$ for $r \in (0, \infty)$ such that

$$\text{dist}(x, Tx) \geq g(\text{dist}(x, F(T))).$$

Let $T_i : D \longrightarrow CB(D)$, $(i = 1, 2, \dots, m)$ be finite given mappings. The mappings T_1, T_2, \dots, T_m are said to satisfy condition (II) if there exists a nondecreasing function $g : [0, \infty) \longrightarrow [0, \infty)$ with $g(0) = 0$, $g(r) > 0$ for $r \in (0, \infty)$, such that

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \text{dist}(x, T_i x) \geq g(\text{dist}(x, \mathcal{F})),$$

where $\mathcal{F} = \bigcap_{i=1}^m F(T_i)$.

Theorem 3.2. *Let D be a nonempty closed convex subset of a uniformly convex Banach space X . Let $T_i : D \longrightarrow CB(D)$, $(i = 1, 2, \dots, m)$ be a finite family of quasi-nonexpansive multivalued mappings satisfy the condition (E). Assume that $\mathcal{F} = \bigcap_{i=1}^m F(T_i) \neq \emptyset$ and $T_i(p) = \{p\}$, $(i = 1, 2, \dots, m)$, for each $p \in \mathcal{F}$. Let $\{x_n\}$ be the iterative process defined by (A), and $a_{n,i} \in [\alpha, \beta] \subset (0, 1)$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, m$). Assume further that the mappings T_1, T_2, \dots, T_m satisfying the condition (II). Then $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to a common fixed point of T_1, T_2, \dots, T_m .*

Proof. Let $p \in \mathcal{F}$. It follows from (A) and the quasi-nonexpansivness of T_i , ($i = 1, 2, \dots, m$) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_{n,1} - p\| &= \|(1 - a_{n,1})x_n + a_{n,1}z_{n,1} - p\| \\ &\leq (1 - a_{n,1})\|x_n - p\| + a_{n,1}\|z_{n,1} - p\| \\ &= (1 - a_{n,1})\|x_n - p\| + a_{n,1}\text{dist}(z_{n,1}, T_1(p)) \\ &\leq (1 - a_{n,1})\|x_n - p\| + a_{n,1}H(T_1(x_n), T_1(p)) \\ &\leq (1 - a_{n,1})\|x_n - p\| + a_{n,1}\|x_n - p\| \\ &\leq \|x_n - p\| \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_{n,2} - p\| &= \|(1 - a_{n,2})z_{n,1} + a_{n,2}z_{n,2} - p\| \\ &\leq (1 - a_{n,2})\|z_{n,1} - p\| + a_{n,2}\|z_{n,2} - p\| \\ &= (1 - a_{n,2})\text{dist}(z_{n,1}, T_1(p)) + a_{n,2}\text{dist}(z_{n,2}, T_2(p)) \\ &\leq (1 - a_{n,2})H(T_1(x_n), T_1(p)) + a_{n,2}H(T_2(y_{n,1}), T_2(p)) \\ &\leq (1 - a_{n,2})\|x_n - p\| + a_{n,2}\|y_{n,1} - p\| \\ &\leq \|x_n - p\|. \end{aligned}$$

Continuing the above process, we get

$$\|y_{n,k} - p\| \leq \|x_n - p\| \quad \text{for } k = 3, \dots, m-1.$$

In particular

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - p\| &= \|(1 - a_{n,m})z_{n,m-1} + a_{n,m}z_{n,m} - p\| \\ &\leq (1 - a_{n,m})\|z_{n,m-1} - p\| + a_{n,m}\|z_{n,m} - p\| \\ &= (1 - a_{n,m})\text{dist}(z_{n,m-1}, T_{m-1}(p)) + a_{n,m}\text{dist}(z_{n,m}, T_m(p)) \\ &\leq (1 - a_{n,m})H(T_{m-1}(y_{n,m-2}), T_{m-1}(p)) + a_{n,m}H(T_m(y_{n,m-1}), T_m(p)) \\ &\leq (1 - a_{n,m})\|y_{n,m-2} - p\| + a_{n,m}\|y_{n,m-1} - p\| \\ &\leq (1 - a_{n,m})\|x_n - p\| + a_{n,m}\|x_n - p\| \\ &\leq \|x_n - p\|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - p\|$ exists for any $p \in \mathcal{F}$. Since the sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_{n,i}\}$, ($i = 1, \dots, m-1$) are bounded, we can find $r > 0$ depending on p such that $x_n - p, y_{n,i} - p \in B_r(0)$ for all $n \geq 0$. From Lemma 2.5, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_{n,1} - p\|^2 &= \|(1 - a_{n,1})x_n + a_{n,1}z_{n,1} - p\|^2 \\ &\leq (1 - a_{n,1})\|x_n - p\|^2 + a_{n,1}\|z_{n,1} - p\|^2 \\ &\quad - a_{n,1}(1 - a_{n,1})\varphi(\|x_n - z_{n,1}\|) \\ &= (1 - a_{n,1})\|x_n - p\|^2 + a_{n,1}\text{dist}(z_{n,1}, T_1(p))^2 \\ &\quad - a_{n,1}(1 - a_{n,1})\varphi(\|x_n - z_{n,1}\|) \\ &\leq (1 - a_{n,1})\|x_n - p\|^2 + a_{n,1}H(T_1(x_n), T_1(p))^2 \\ &\quad - a_{n,1}(1 - a_{n,1})\varphi(\|x_n - z_{n,1}\|) \\ &\leq (1 - a_{n,1})\|x_n - p\|^2 + a_{n,1}\|x_n - p\|^2 \\ &\quad - a_{n,1}(1 - a_{n,1})\varphi(\|x_n - z_{n,1}\|) \\ &\leq \|x_n - p\|^2 - a_{n,1}(1 - a_{n,1})\varphi(\|x_n - z_{n,1}\|) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|y_{n,2} - p\|^2 = \|(1 - a_{n,2})z_{n,1} + a_{n,2}z_{n,2} - p\|^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq (1 - a_{n,2}) \|z_{n,1} - p\|^2 + a_{n,2} \|z_{n,2} - p\|^2 \\
&\quad - a_{n,2}(1 - a_{n,2})\varphi(\|z_{n,1} - z_{n,2}\|) \\
&= (1 - a_{n,2})\text{dist}(z_{n,1}, T_1(p))^2 + a_{n,2}\text{dist}(z_{n,2}, T_2(p))^2 \\
&\quad - a_{n,2}(1 - a_{n,2})\varphi(\|z_{n,1} - z_{n,2}\|) \\
&\leq (1 - a_{n,2})H(T_1(x_n), T_1(p))^2 + a_{n,2}H(T_2(y_{n,1}), T_2(p))^2 \\
&\quad - a_{n,2}(1 - a_{n,2})\varphi(\|z_{n,1} - z_{n,2}\|) \\
&\leq (1 - a_{n,2}) \|x_n - p\|^2 + a_{n,2} \|y_{n,1} - p\|^2 \\
&\quad - a_{n,2}(1 - a_{n,2})\varphi(\|z_{n,1} - z_{n,2}\|) \\
&\leq \|x_n - p\|^2 - a_{n,1}a_{n,2}(1 - a_{n,1})\varphi(\|x_n - z_{n,1}\|) \\
&\quad - a_{n,2}(1 - a_{n,2})\varphi(\|z_{n,1} - z_{n,2}\|).
\end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 2.5 again, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|y_{n,3} - p\|^2 &= \|(1 - a_{n,3})z_{n,2} + a_{n,3}z_{n,3} - p\|^2 \\
&\leq (1 - a_{n,3}) \|z_{n,2} - p\|^2 + a_{n,3} \|z_{n,3} - p\|^2 \\
&\quad - a_{n,3}(1 - a_{n,3})\varphi(\|z_{n,2} - z_{n,3}\|) \\
&= (1 - a_{n,3})\text{dist}(z_{n,2}, T_2(p))^2 + a_{n,3}\text{dist}(z_{n,3}, T_3(p))^2 \\
&\quad - a_{n,3}(1 - a_{n,3})\varphi(\|z_{n,2} - z_{n,3}\|) \\
&\leq (1 - a_{n,3})H(T_2(y_{n,1}), T_2(p))^2 + a_{n,3}H(T_3(y_{n,2}), T_3(p))^2 \\
&\quad - a_{n,3}(1 - a_{n,3})\varphi(\|z_{n,2} - z_{n,3}\|) \\
&\leq (1 - a_{n,3}) \|y_{n,1} - p\|^2 + a_{n,3} \|y_{n,2} - p\|^2 \\
&\quad - a_{n,3}(1 - a_{n,3})\varphi(\|z_{n,2} - z_{n,3}\|) \\
&\leq (1 - a_{n,3})\|x_n - p\|^2 + a_{n,3}\|x_n - p\|^2 \\
&\quad - a_{n,3}a_{n,2}a_{n,1}(1 - a_{n,1})\varphi(\|x_n - z_{n,1}\|) \\
&\quad - a_{n,3}a_{n,2}(1 - a_{n,2})\varphi(\|z_{n,1} - z_{n,2}\|) \\
&\quad - a_{n,3}(1 - a_{n,3})\varphi(\|z_{n,2} - z_{n,3}\|) \\
&\leq \|x_n - p\|^2 - a_{n,1}a_{n,2}a_{n,3}(1 - a_{n,1})\varphi(\|x_n - z_{n,1}\|) \\
&\quad - a_{n,3}a_{n,2}(1 - a_{n,2})\varphi(\|z_{n,1} - z_{n,2}\|) \\
&\quad - a_{n,3}(1 - a_{n,3})\varphi(\|z_{n,2} - z_{n,3}\|)
\end{aligned}$$

By continuing this process we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 &= \|(1 - a_{n,m})z_{n,m-1} + a_{n,m}z_{n,m} - p\|^2 \\
&\leq (1 - a_{n,m}) \|z_{n,m-1} - p\|^2 + a_{n,m} \|z_{n,m} - p\|^2 \\
&\quad - a_{n,m}(1 - a_{n,m})\varphi(\|z_{n,m-1} - z_{n,m}\|) \\
&= (1 - a_{n,m})\text{dist}(z_{n,m-1}, T_{m-1}(p))^2 + a_{n,m}\text{dist}(z_{n,m}, T_m(p))^2 \\
&\quad - a_{n,m}(1 - a_{n,m})\varphi(\|z_{n,m-1} - z_{n,m}\|) \\
&\leq (1 - a_{n,m})H(T_{m-1}(y_{n,m-2}), T_{m-1}(p))^2 \\
&\quad + a_{n,m}H(T_m(y_{n,m-1}), T_m(p))^2 \\
&\quad - a_{n,m}(1 - a_{n,m})\varphi(\|z_{n,m-1} - z_{n,m}\|) \\
&\leq (1 - a_{n,m}) \|y_{n,m-2} - p\|^2 + a_{n,m} \|y_{n,m-1} - p\|^2 \\
&\quad - a_{n,m}(1 - a_{n,m})\varphi(\|z_{n,m-1} - z_{n,m}\|) \\
&\leq \|x_n - p\|^2 - a_{n,m}a_{n,m-1}a_{n,m-2}\dots a_{n,1}(1 - a_{n,1})\varphi(\|x_n - z_{n,1}\|)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & - \cdots - a_{n,m} a_{n,m-1} (1 - a_{n,m-1}) \varphi(\|z_{n,m-2} - z_{n,m-1}\|) \\ & - a_{n,m} (1 - a_{n,m}) \varphi(\|z_{n,m-1} - z_{n,m}\|). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$a_{n,m} a_{n,m-1} (1 - a_{n,m-1}) \varphi(\|z_{n,m-2} - z_{n,m-1}\|) \leq \|x_n - p\|^2 - \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2.$$

It follows from our assumption that

$$\alpha^2(1 - \beta) \varphi(\|z_{n,m-2} - z_{n,m-1}\|) \leq \|x_n - p\|^2 - \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2.$$

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - p\|$ exists for all $p \in \mathcal{F}$, taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ yields that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(\|z_{n,m-2} - z_{n,m-1}\|) = 0.$$

Since φ is continuous at 0 and is strictly increasing, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|z_{n,m-2} - z_{n,m-1}\| = 0.$$

Similarly, we can obtain that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - z_{n,1}\| = 0,$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|z_{n,k} - z_{n,k-1}\| = 0 \quad \text{for } k = 2, \dots, m.$$

Using (A) we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - y_{n,1}\| = a_{n,1} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - z_{n,1}\| = 0.$$

Also we have

$$\|x_n - z_{n,2}\| \leq \|x_n - z_{n,1}\| + \|z_{n,1} - z_{n,2}\| \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - y_{n,2}\| = (1 - a_{n,2}) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - z_{n,1}\| + a_{n,2} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - z_{n,2}\| = 0.$$

Continuing the above process, for $k = 2, \dots, m$ we have

$$\|x_n - z_{n,k}\| \leq \|x_n - z_{n,k-1}\| + \|z_{n,k-1} - z_{n,k}\| \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty$$

and also for $k = 2, \dots, m - 1$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - y_{n,k}\| = (1 - a_{n,k}) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - z_{n,k-1}\| + a_{n,k} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - z_{n,k}\| = 0.$$

Also we have

$$\text{dist}(x_n, T_1 x_n) \leq \|x_n - z_{n,1}\| \rightarrow 0.$$

For $k = 2, \dots, m$, since T_i satisfies the condition (E) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dist}(x_n, T_k x_n) & \leq \|x_n - y_{n,k-1}\| + \text{dist}(y_{n,k-1}, T_k x_n) \\ & \leq \|x_n - y_{n,k-1}\| + \mu \text{dist}(y_{n,k-1}, T_k y_{n,k-1}) + \|x_n - y_{n,k-1}\| \\ & \leq \|x_n - y_{n,k-1}\| + \mu \text{dist}(x_n, T_k y_{n,k-1}) + \mu \|x_n - y_{n,k-1}\| \\ & \quad + \|x_n - y_{n,k-1}\| \\ & \leq (\mu + 2) \|x_n - y_{n,k-1}\| + \mu \|x_n - z_{n,k}\| \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Note that by our assumption $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{dist}(x_n, \mathcal{F}) = 0$. Next we show that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in D . Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrarily chosen. Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{dist}(x_n, \mathcal{F}) = 0$, there exists N such that for all $n \geq N$, we have

$$\text{dist}(x_n, \mathcal{F}) < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}.$$

In particular, $\inf\{\|x_N - p\| : p \in \mathcal{F}\} < \varepsilon/4$. Thus there exist a $q \in \mathcal{F}$ such that

$$\|x_N - q\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Hence for $m, n \geq N$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+m} - x_n\| &\leq \|x_{n+m} - q\| + \|x_n - q\| \\ &\leq 2\|x_N - q\| < 2\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy sequence in D and hence converges to some $w \in D$. For $i = 1, \dots, m$, since T_i satisfies the condition (E), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dist}(w, T_i w) &\leq \|w - x_n\| + \text{dist}(x_n, T_i w) \\ &\leq 2\|w - x_n\| + (\mu)\text{dist}(x_n, T_i x_n) \longrightarrow 0, \quad n \longrightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

from which it follows that $\text{dist}(w, T_i w) = 0$ which in turn implies that $w \in T_i(w)$. Thus $w \in \mathcal{F}$. This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 3.3. *Let D be a nonempty closed convex subset of a uniformly convex Banach space X . Let $T_i : D \rightarrow CB(D)$, $(i = 1, 2, \dots, m)$ be a finite family of quasi-nonexpansive multivalued mappings satisfying the condition (E). Assume that $\mathcal{F} = \bigcap_{i=1}^m F(T_i) \neq \emptyset$ and $T_i(p) = \{p\}$, $(i = 1, 2, \dots, m)$ for each $p \in \mathcal{F}$. Let $\{x_n\}$ be the iterative process defined by (A), and $a_{n,i} \in [\alpha, \beta] \subset (0, 1)$ $(i=1, 2, \dots, m)$. If one of the mappings T_i , $(i = 1, 2, \dots, m)$ is hemi-compact, then $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to a common fixed point of T_1, T_2, \dots, T_m .*

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 3.2, we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{dist}(T_i x_n, x_n) = 0$, $(i = 1, 2, \dots, m)$. Without loss of generality, we assume that T_1 is hemi-compact. Then there exists a subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ such that $\lim x_{n_k} = w$ for some $w \in D$. Since T_i satisfies the condition (E) for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dist}(w, T_i(w)) &\leq \|w - x_{n_k}\| + \text{dist}(x_{n_k}, T_i(w)) \\ &\leq (\mu)\text{dist}(x_{n_k}, T_i(x_{n_k})) + 2\|w - x_{n_k}\| \longrightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } k \longrightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $w \in \mathcal{F}$. Since $\{x_{n_k}\}$ converges strongly to w and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - w\|$ exists (as in the proof of Theorem 3.2), it follows that $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to w . \square

Theorem 3.4. *Let D be a nonempty closed convex subset of a uniformly convex Banach space X with the Opial property. Let $T_i : D \rightarrow K(D)$, $(i = 1, 2, \dots, m)$ be finite family of quasi-nonexpansive multivalued mappings satisfying the condition (E). Assume that $\mathcal{F} = \bigcap_{i=1}^m F(T_i) \neq \emptyset$ and $T_i(p) = \{p\}$, $(i = 1, 2, \dots, m)$ for each $p \in \mathcal{F}$. Let $\{x_n\}$ be the iterative process defined by (A), and $a_{n,i} \in [\alpha, \beta] \subset (0, 1)$ $(i=1, 2, \dots, m)$. Then $\{x_n\}$ converges weakly to a common fixed point of T_1, T_2, \dots, T_m .*

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 3.2, $\{x_n\}$ is bounded and for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{dist}(x_n, T_i(x_n)) = 0.$$

Consider $w_1, w_2 \in D$, two weak cluster points of the sequence $\{x_n\}$. Then there exist two subsequences $\{y_n\}$ and $\{z_n\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ such that $y_n \rightharpoonup w_1$ weakly and $z_n \rightharpoonup w_2$ weakly. By Lemma 2.4 we have $w_1, w_2 \in \mathcal{F}$. As in the proof of Theorem 3.2, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - w_1\|$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - w_2\|$ exist. We claim that $w_1 = w_2$. Indeed, assume to the contrary that $w_1 \neq w_2$. By the Opial property we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - w_1\| &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|y_n - w_1\| < \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|y_n - w_2\| \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - w_2\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|z_n - w_2\| \end{aligned}$$

$$< \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|z_n - w_1\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - w_1\|,$$

which is a contradiction, hence $w_1 = w_2$. Thus the sequence $\{x_n\}$ has at most one weak cluster point. Since D is weakly sequentially compact, we deduce that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ has exactly one weak cluster point $w \in D$, that is $x_n \rightharpoonup w$ weakly. By Lemma 2.4 we obtain that $w \in \mathcal{F}$. \square

We now intend to remove the restriction that $T_i(p) = p$ for each $p \in \mathcal{F}$. We define the following iteration process.

Let D be a nonempty convex subset of a Banach space X , and let T_1, T_2, \dots, T_m be finite multivalued mappings from D into $P(D)$, and

$$P_{T_i}(x) = \{y \in T_i(x) : \|x - y\| = \text{dist}(x, T_i(x))\}.$$

Then, for $x_1 \in D$, we consider the following iterative process:

$$(B) : \begin{cases} y_{n,1} = (1 - a_{n,1})x_n + a_{n,1}z_{n,1}, \\ y_{n,2} = (1 - a_{n,2})z_{n,1} + a_{n,2}z_{n,2}, \\ \dots \\ y_{n,m-1} = (1 - a_{n,m-1})z_{n,m-2} + a_{n,m-1}z_{n,m-1}, \\ x_{n+1} = (1 - a_{n,m})z_{n,m-1} + a_{n,m}z_{n,m}, \quad n \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

where $z_{n,1} \in P_{T_1}(x_n)$, $z_{n,k} \in P_{T_k}(y_{n,k-1})$ for $k = 2, \dots, m$, and $\{a_{n,i}\} \in [0, 1]$.

Theorem 3.5. *Let D be a nonempty closed convex subset of a uniformly convex Banach space X . Let $T_i : D \rightarrow P(D)$, ($i = 1, 2, \dots, m$) be multivalued mappings such that P_{T_i} is quasi-nonexpansive and satisfies the condition (E). Assume that $\mathcal{F} = \bigcap_{i=1}^m F(T_i) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\{x_n\}$ be the iterative process defined by (B), and $a_{n,i} \in [\alpha, \beta] \subset (0, 1)$ ($i=1, 2, \dots, m$). Assume that the mappings T_1, T_2, \dots, T_m satisfy the condition (III). Then $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to a common fixed point of T_1, T_2, \dots, T_m .*

Proof. Let $p \in \mathcal{F}$, then, $P_{T_i}(p) = \{p\}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. It follows from (B) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_{n,1} - p\| &= \|(1 - a_{n,1})x_n + a_{n,1}z_{n,1} - p\| \\ &\leq (1 - a_{n,1})\|x_n - p\| + a_{n,1}\|z_{n,1} - p\| \\ &= (1 - a_{n,1})\|x_n - p\| + a_{n,1}\text{dist}(z_{n,1}, P_{T_1}(p)) \\ &\leq (1 - a_{n,1})\|x_n - p\| + a_{n,1}H(P_{T_1}(x_n), P_{T_1}(p)) \\ &\leq (1 - a_{n,1})\|x_n - p\| + a_{n,1}\|x_n - p\| \\ &\leq \|x_n - p\|. \end{aligned}$$

Continuing the above process, we get

$$\|y_{n,k} - p\| \leq \|x_n - p\| \quad \text{for } k = 2, \dots, m-1.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - p\| &= \|(1 - a_{n,m})z_{n,m-1} + a_{n,m}z_{n,m} - p\| \\ &\leq (1 - a_{n,m})\|z_{n,m-1} - p\| + a_{n,m}\|z_{n,m} - p\| \\ &= (1 - a_{n,m})\text{dist}(z_{n,m-1}, P_{T_{m-1}}(p)) + a_{n,m}\text{dist}(z_{n,m}, P_{T_m}(p)) \\ &\leq (1 - a_{n,m})H(P_{T_{m-1}}(y_{n,m-2}), P_{T_{m-1}}(p)) \\ &\quad + a_{n,m}H(P_{T_m}(y_{n,m-1}), P_{T_m}(p)) \\ &\leq (1 - a_{n,m})\|y_{n,m-2} - p\| + a_{n,m}\|y_{n,m-1} - p\| \\ &\leq (1 - a_{n,m})\|x_n - p\| + a_{n,m}\|x_n - p\| \\ &\leq \|x_n - p\|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - p\|$ exists for any $p \in \mathcal{F}$. Since the sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_{n,i}\}$, $i = 1, \dots, m-1$ are bounded, we can find $r > 0$ depending on p such that $x_n - p, y_{n,i} - p \in B_r(0)$ for all $n \geq 0$. From Lemma 2.5, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|y_{n,1} - p\|^2 &= \|(1 - a_{n,1})x_n + a_{n,1}z_{n,1} - p\|^2 \\
 &\leq (1 - a_{n,1})\|x_n - p\|^2 + a_{n,1}\|z_{n,1} - p\|^2 \\
 &\quad - a_{n,1}(1 - a_{n,1})\varphi(\|x_n - z_{n,1}\|) \\
 &= (1 - a_{n,1})\|x_n - p\|^2 + a_{n,1}\text{dist}(z_{n,1}, P_{T_1}(p))^2 \\
 &\quad - a_{n,1}(1 - a_{n,1})\varphi(\|x_n - z_{n,1}\|) \\
 &\leq (1 - a_{n,1})\|x_n - p\|^2 + a_{n,1}H(P_{T_1}(x_n), P_{T_1}(p))^2 \\
 &\quad - a_{n,1}(1 - a_{n,1})\varphi(\|x_n - z_{n,1}\|) \\
 &\leq (1 - a_{n,1})\|x_n - p\|^2 + a_{n,1}\|x_n - p\|^2 \\
 &\quad - a_{n,1}(1 - a_{n,1})\varphi(\|x_n - z_{n,1}\|) \\
 &\leq \|x_n - p\|^2 - a_{n,1}(1 - a_{n,1})\varphi(\|x_n - z_{n,1}\|).
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows from lemma 2.5 that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 &= \|(1 - a_{n,m})z_{n,m-1} + a_{n,m}z_{n,m} - p\|^2 \\
 &\leq (1 - a_{n,m})\|z_{n,m-1} - p\|^2 + a_{n,m}\|z_{n,m} - p\|^2 \\
 &\quad - a_{n,m}(1 - a_{n,m})\varphi(\|z_{n,m-1} - z_{n,m}\|) \\
 &= (1 - a_{n,m})\text{dist}(z_{n,m-1}, P_{T_{m-1}}(p))^2 + a_{n,m}\text{dist}(z_{n,m}, P_{T_m}(p))^2 \\
 &\quad - a_{n,m}(1 - a_{n,m})\varphi(\|z_{n,m-1} - z_{n,m}\|) \\
 &\leq (1 - a_{n,m})H(P_{T_{m-1}}(y_{n,m-2}), P_{T_{m-1}}(p))^2 \\
 &\quad + a_{n,m}H(P_{T_m}(y_{n,m-1}), P_{T_m}(p))^2 \\
 &\quad - a_{n,m}(1 - a_{n,m})\varphi(\|z_{n,m-1} - z_{n,m}\|) \\
 &\leq (1 - a_{n,m})\|y_{n,m-2} - p\|^2 + a_{n,m}\|y_{n,m-1} - p\|^2 \\
 &\quad - a_{n,m}(1 - a_{n,m})\varphi(\|z_{n,m-1} - z_{n,m}\|) \\
 &\leq \|x_n - p\|^2 - a_{n,m}a_{n,m-1}a_{n,m-2}\dots a_{n,1}(1 - a_{n,1})\varphi(\|x_n - z_{n,1}\|) \\
 &\quad - \dots - a_{n,m}a_{n,m-1}(1 - a_{n,m-1})\varphi(\|z_{n,m-2} - z_{n,m-1}\|) \\
 &\quad - a_{n,m}(1 - a_{n,m})\varphi(\|z_{n,m-1} - z_{n,m}\|).
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, by a similar argument as in the proof of Theorem 3.2 we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - z_{n,k}\| = 0$ for $k = 2, \dots, m-1$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - y_{n,k}\| = 0$ for $k = 2, \dots, m$. Also we have

$$\text{dist}(x_n, T_1 x_n) \leq \text{dist}(x_n, P_{T_1} x_n) \leq \|x_n - z_{n,1}\| \longrightarrow 0.$$

For $k = 2, \dots, m$, since P_{T_i} satisfies the condition (E) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{dist}(x_n, T_k x_n) &\leq \text{dist}(x_n, P_{T_k} x_n) \leq \|x_n - y_{n,k-1}\| + \text{dist}(y_{n,k-1}, P_{T_k} x_n) \\
 &\leq \|x_n - y_{n,k-1}\| + \mu \text{dist}(y_{n,k-1}, P_{T_k} y_{n,k-1}) + \|x_n - y_{n,k-1}\| \\
 &\leq \|x_n - y_{n,k-1}\| + \mu \text{dist}(x_n, P_{T_k} y_{n,k-1}) + \mu \|x_n - y_{n,k-1}\| \\
 &\quad + \|x_n - y_{n,k-1}\| \\
 &\leq (\mu + 2)\|x_n - y_{n,k-1}\| + \mu \|x_n - z_{n,k}\| \longrightarrow 0, \quad n \longrightarrow \infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that by our assumption $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{dist}(x_n, \mathcal{F}) = 0$. By a similar argument as in the proof of Theorem 3.2 we show that $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy sequence in D and hence converges to $w \in D$. For $i = 1, \dots, m$, since P_{T_i} satisfies the condition (E), we have

$$\text{dist}(w, T_i w) \leq \text{dist}(w, P_{T_i} w)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \|w - x_n\| + \text{dist}(x_n, P_{T_i}w) \\ &\leq 2\|w - x_n\| + (\mu)\text{dist}(x_n, P_{T_i}x_n) \longrightarrow 0, \quad n \longrightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

from which it follows that $\text{dist}(w, T_i w) = 0$, which in turn implies that $w \in T_i(w)$, ($i = 1, 2, \dots, m$). Thus $w \in \mathcal{F}$. This completes the proof. \square

REFERENCES

1. W. R. Mann, *Mean value methods in iteration*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **4** (1953) 506-510.
2. S. Ishikawa, *Fixed point by a new iteration method*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **44** (1974) 147-150.
3. R. P. Agarwal, D. Oregan, D. R. Sahu, *Iterative construction of fixed point of nearly asymptotically nonexpansive mappings.*, J. Nonlinear convex Anal. **8** (2007) 61-79.
4. K. P. R. Sastry, G. V. R. Babu, *Convergence of Ishikawa iterates for a multivalued mapping with a fixed point*, Czechoslovak Math. J. **55** (2005) 817-826.
5. B. Panyanak, *Mann and Ishikawa iterative processes for multivalued mappings in Banach spaces*, Comput. Math. Appl. **54** (2007) 872-877.
6. Y. Song, H. Wang, *Erratum to Mann and Ishikawa iterative processes for multivalued mappings in Banach spaces*, Comput. Math. Appl. **55** (2008) 2999-3002.
7. Y. Song, H. Wang, *Convergence of iterative algorithms for multivalued mappings in Banach spaces*, Nonlinear Analysis. **70** (2009) 1547-1556.
8. N. Shahzad, H. Zegeye, *On Mann and Ishikawa iteration schemes for multivalued maps in Banach space*. Nonlinear Analysis. **71** (2009) 838-844.
9. M. Abbas, S. H. Khan, A. R. Khan, R. P. Agarwal, *Common fixed points of two multivalued nonexpansive mappings by one-step iterative scheme*, Appl. Math. Lett., **24** (2011) 97-102.
10. M. Eslamian, A. Abkar, *One-step iterative process for a finite family of multivalued mappings*, Math. Comput. Modell. **54** (2011) 105-111.
11. W. Choleamjiak, S. Suantai, *Approximation of common fixed point of two quasi-nonexpansive multi-valued maps in Banach spaces*, Comput. Math. Appl. **61** (2011) 941-949.
12. J. Garcia-Falset, E. Llorens-Fuster, T. Suzuki, *Fixed point theory for a class of generalized nonexpansive mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **375** (2011) 185-195.
13. A. Abkar, M. Eslamian, *Common fixed point results in CAT(0) spaces*, Nonlinear Anal., **74** (2011) 1835-1840.
14. H. K. Xu, *Inequalities in Banach spaces with application*, Nonlinear Anal. **16** (1991) 1127-1138.