



## **SQUARE ROOT AND 3RD ROOT FUNCTIONAL EQUATIONS IN $C^*$ -ALGEBRAS: AN FIXED POINT APPROACH**

CHOONKIL PARK\*

Department of Mathematics, Research Institute for Natural Sciences, Hanyang  
University, Seoul 133-791, South Korea

---

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, we introduce a square root functional equation and a 3rd root functional equation. Using fixed point methods, we prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of the square root functional equation and of the 3rd root functional equation in  $C^*$ -algebras.

**KEYWORDS :** Hyers-Ulam stability;  $C^*$ -algebra; Convex cone; Fixed point, Square root functional equation; 3rd root functional equation.

---

### 1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

The stability problem of functional equations was originated from a question of Ulam [27] concerning the stability of group homomorphisms. Hyers [12] gave a first affirmative partial answer to the question of Ulam for Banach spaces. Hyers' Theorem was generalized by Aoki [1] for additive mappings and by Th.M. Rassias [26] for linear mappings by considering an unbounded Cauchy difference. The paper of Th.M. Rassias [26] has provided a lot of influence in the development of what we call *generalized Hyers-Ulam stability* or *Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability* of functional equations. A generalization of the Th.M. Rassias theorem was obtained by Găvruta [10] by replacing the unbounded Cauchy difference by a general control function in the spirit of the Th.M. Rassias' approach. J.M. Rassias [23]–[25] followed the innovative approach of the Th.M. Rassias' theorem [26] in which he replaced the factor  $\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p$  by  $\|x\|^p \cdot \|y\|^q$  for  $p, q \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $p + q \neq 1$ . The stability problems of several functional equations have been extensively investigated by a

---

\*Corresponding author.

Email address : baak@hanyang.ac.kr. (C. Park).

Article history : Received November 15 2010. Accepted January 28 2011.

number of authors and there are many interesting results concerning this problem (see [5, 8, 9, 13, 16, 17]).

**Definition 1.1.** [7] Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra and  $x \in A$  a self-adjoint element, i.e.,  $x^* = x$ . Then  $x$  is said to be *positive* if it is of the form  $yy^*$  for some  $y \in A$ .

The set of positive elements of  $A$  is denoted by  $A^+$ .

Note that  $A^+$  is a closed convex cone (see [7]).

It is well-known that for a positive element  $x$  and a positive integer  $n$  there exists a unique positive element  $y \in A^+$  such that  $x = y^n$ . We denote  $y$  by  $x^{\frac{1}{n}}$  (see [11]).

In this paper, we introduce a *square root functional equation*

$$S\left(x + y + x^{\frac{1}{4}}y^{\frac{1}{2}}x^{\frac{1}{4}} + y^{\frac{1}{4}}x^{\frac{1}{2}}y^{\frac{1}{4}}\right) = S(x) + S(y) \quad (1.1)$$

and a *3rd root functional equation*

$$T\left(x + y + 3x^{\frac{1}{3}}y^{\frac{1}{3}}x^{\frac{1}{3}} + 3y^{\frac{1}{3}}x^{\frac{1}{3}}y^{\frac{1}{3}}\right) = T(x) + T(y) \quad (1.2)$$

for all  $x, y \in A^+$ . Each solution of the square root functional equation is called a *square root mapping* and each solution of the 3rd root functional equation is called a *3rd root mapping*.

Note that the functions  $S(x) = \sqrt{x} = x^{\frac{1}{2}}$  and  $T(x) = \sqrt[3]{x} = x^{\frac{1}{3}}$  in the set of non-negative real numbers are solutions of the functional equations (1.1) and (1.2), respectively.

Let  $X$  be a set. A function  $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is called a *generalized metric* on  $X$  if  $d$  satisfies

- (1)  $d(x, y) = 0$  if and only if  $x = y$ ;
- (2)  $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ ;
- (3)  $d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z)$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$ .

We recall a fundamental result in fixed point theory.

**Theorem 1.1.** [2, 6] Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete generalized metric space and let  $J : X \rightarrow X$  be a strictly contractive mapping with Lipschitz constant  $L < 1$ . Then for each given element  $x \in X$ , either

$$d(J^n x, J^{n+1} x) = \infty$$

for all nonnegative integers  $n$  or there exists a positive integer  $n_0$  such that

- (1)  $d(J^n x, J^{n+1} x) < \infty$ ,  $\forall n \geq n_0$ ;
- (2) the sequence  $\{J^n x\}$  converges to a fixed point  $y^*$  of  $J$ ;
- (3)  $y^*$  is the unique fixed point of  $J$  in the set  $Y = \{y \in X \mid d(J^{n_0} x, y) < \infty\}$ ;
- (4)  $d(y, y^*) \leq \frac{1}{1-L}d(y, Jy)$  for all  $y \in Y$ .

In 1996, G. Isac and Th.M. Rassias [14] were the first to provide applications of stability theory of functional equations for the proof of new fixed point theorems with applications. By using fixed point methods, the stability problems of several functional equations have been extensively investigated by a number of authors (see [3, 4], [19]–[22]).

Using the fixed point method, we prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of the functional equations (1.1) and (1.2) in  $C^*$ -algebras.

Throughout this paper, let  $A^+$  and  $B^+$  be the sets of positive elements in  $C^*$ -algebras  $A$  and  $B$ , respectively.

## 2. STABILITY OF THE SQUARE ROOT FUNCTIONAL EQUATION

In this section, we investigate the square root functional equation in  $C^*$ -algebras.

**Lemma 2.1.** [15] *Let  $S : A^+ \rightarrow B^+$  be a square root mapping satisfying (1.1). Then  $S$  satisfies*

$$S(4^n x) = 2^n S(x)$$

for all  $x \in A^+$  and all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

We prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of the square root functional equation in  $C^*$ -algebras. Note that the fundamental ideas in the proofs of the main results in Sections 2 and 3 are contained in [2, 3, 4].

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $\varphi : A^+ \times A^+ \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a function such that there exists an  $L < 1$  with*

$$\varphi(x, y) \leq \frac{L}{2} \varphi(4x, 4y) \quad (2.1)$$

for all  $x, y \in A^+$ . Let  $f : A^+ \rightarrow B^+$  be a mapping satisfying

$$\left\| f\left(x + y + x^{\frac{1}{4}}y^{\frac{1}{2}}x^{\frac{1}{4}} + y^{\frac{1}{4}}x^{\frac{1}{2}}y^{\frac{1}{4}}\right) - f(x) - f(y) \right\| \leq \varphi(x, y) \quad (2.2)$$

for all  $x, y \in A^+$ . Then there exists a unique square root mapping  $S : A^+ \rightarrow A^+$  satisfying (1.1) and

$$\|f(x) - S(x)\| \leq \frac{L}{2 - 2L} \varphi(x, x) \quad (2.3)$$

for all  $x \in A^+$ .

*Proof.* Letting  $y = x$  in (2.2), we get

$$\|f(4x) - 2f(x)\| \leq \varphi(x, x) \quad (2.4)$$

for all  $x \in A^+$ .

Consider the set

$$X := \{g : A^+ \rightarrow B^+\}$$

and introduce the generalized metric on  $X$ :

$$d(g, h) = \inf\{\mu \in \mathbb{R}_+ : \|g(x) - h(x)\| \leq \mu \varphi(x, x), \forall x \in A^+\},$$

where, as usual,  $\inf \emptyset = +\infty$ . It is easy to show that  $(X, d)$  is complete (see [18]).

Now we consider the linear mapping  $J : X \rightarrow X$  such that

$$Jg(x) := 2g\left(\frac{x}{4}\right)$$

for all  $x \in A^+$ .

Let  $g, h \in X$  be given such that  $d(g, h) = \varepsilon$ . Then

$$\|g(x) - h(x)\| \leq \varphi(x, x)$$

for all  $x \in A^+$ . Hence

$$\|Jg(x) - Jh(x)\| = \left\| 2g\left(\frac{x}{4}\right) - 2h\left(\frac{x}{4}\right) \right\| \leq L\varphi(x, x)$$

for all  $x \in A^+$ . So  $d(g, h) = \varepsilon$  implies that  $d(Jg, Jh) \leq L\varepsilon$ . This means that

$$d(Jg, Jh) \leq Ld(g, h)$$

for all  $g, h \in X$ .

It follows from (2.4) that

$$\|f(x) - 2f\left(\frac{x}{4}\right)\| \leq \frac{L}{2}\varphi(x, x)$$

for all  $x \in A^+$ . So  $d(f, Jf) \leq \frac{L}{2}$ .

By Theorem 1.2, there exists a mapping  $S : A^+ \rightarrow B^+$  satisfying the following:

(1)  $S$  is a fixed point of  $J$ , i.e.,

$$S\left(\frac{x}{4}\right) = \frac{1}{2}S(x) \quad (2.5)$$

for all  $x \in A^+$ . The mapping  $S$  is a unique fixed point of  $J$  in the set

$$M = \{g \in X : d(f, g) < \infty\}.$$

This implies that  $S$  is a unique mapping satisfying (2.5) such that there exists a  $\mu \in (0, \infty)$  satisfying

$$\|f(x) - S(x)\| \leq \mu\varphi(x, x)$$

for all  $x \in A^+$ ;

(2)  $d(J^n f, S) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . This implies the equality

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n f\left(\frac{x}{4^n}\right) = S(x)$$

for all  $x \in A^+$ ;

(3)  $d(f, S) \leq \frac{1}{1-L}d(f, Jf)$ , which implies the inequality

$$d(f, S) \leq \frac{L}{2-2L}.$$

This implies that the inequality (2.3) holds.

By (2.1) and (2.2),

$$\begin{aligned} & 2^n \left\| f\left(\frac{x+y+x^{\frac{1}{4}}y^{\frac{1}{2}}x^{\frac{1}{4}}+y^{\frac{1}{4}}x^{\frac{1}{2}}y^{\frac{1}{4}}}{4^n}\right) - f\left(\frac{x}{4^n}\right) - f\left(\frac{y}{4^n}\right) \right\| \\ & \leq 2^n \varphi\left(\frac{x}{4^n}, \frac{y}{4^n}\right) \leq L^n \varphi(x, y) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in A^+$  and all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . So

$$\left\| S\left(x+y+x^{\frac{1}{4}}y^{\frac{1}{2}}x^{\frac{1}{4}}+y^{\frac{1}{4}}x^{\frac{1}{2}}y^{\frac{1}{4}}\right) - S(x) - S(y) \right\| = 0$$

for all  $x, y \in A^+$ . Thus the mapping  $S : A^+ \rightarrow B^+$  is a square root mapping, as desired.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.2.** *Let  $p > \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\theta_1, \theta_2$  be non-negative real numbers, and let  $f : A^+ \rightarrow B^+$  be a mapping such that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| f\left(x+y+x^{\frac{1}{4}}y^{\frac{1}{2}}x^{\frac{1}{4}}+y^{\frac{1}{4}}x^{\frac{1}{2}}y^{\frac{1}{4}}\right) - f(x) - f(y) \right\| \\ & \leq \theta_1(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p) + \theta_2 \cdot \|x\|^{\frac{p}{2}} \cdot \|y\|^{\frac{p}{2}} \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

for all  $x, y \in A^+$ . Then there exists a unique square root mapping  $S : A^+ \rightarrow B^+$  satisfying (1.1) and

$$\|f(x) - S(x)\| \leq \frac{2\theta_1 + \theta_2}{4^p - 2} \|x\|^p$$

for all  $x \in A^+$ .

*Proof.* The proof follows from Theorem 2.1 by taking  $\varphi(x, y) = \theta_1(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p) + \theta_2 \cdot \|x\|^{\frac{p}{2}} \cdot \|y\|^{\frac{p}{2}}$  for all  $x, y \in A^+$ . Then we can choose  $L = 2^{1-2p}$  and we get the desired result.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $\varphi : A^+ \times A^+ \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a function such that there exists an  $L < 1$  with*

$$\varphi(x, y) \leq 2L\varphi\left(\frac{x}{4}, \frac{y}{4}\right)$$

*for all  $x, y \in A^+$ . Let  $f : A^+ \rightarrow B^+$  be a mapping satisfying (2.2). Then there exists a unique square root mapping  $S : A^+ \rightarrow A^+$  satisfying (1.1) and*

$$\|f(x) - S(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{2-2L}\varphi(x, x)$$

*for all  $x \in A^+$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $(X, d)$  be the generalized metric space defined in the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Consider the linear mapping  $J : X \rightarrow X$  such that

$$Jg(x) := \frac{1}{2}g(4x)$$

for all  $x \in A^+$ .

It follows from (2.4) that

$$\left\|f(x) - \frac{1}{2}f(4x)\right\| \leq \frac{1}{2}\varphi(x, x)$$

for all  $x \in A^+$ . So  $d(f, Jf) \leq \frac{1}{2}$ .

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.1.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.3.** *Let  $0 < p < \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\theta_1, \theta_2$  be non-negative real numbers, and let  $f : A^+ \rightarrow B^+$  be a mapping satisfying (2.6). Then there exists a unique square root mapping  $S : A^+ \rightarrow B^+$  satisfying (1.1) and*

$$\|f(x) - S(x)\| \leq \frac{2\theta_1 + \theta_2}{2 - 4^p}\|x\|^p$$

*for all  $x \in A^+$ .*

*Proof.* The proof follows from Theorem 2.2 by taking  $\varphi(x, y) = \theta_1(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p) + \theta_2 \cdot \|x\|^{\frac{p}{2}} \cdot \|y\|^{\frac{p}{2}}$  for all  $x, y \in A^+$ . Then we can choose  $L = 2^{2p-1}$  and we get the desired result.  $\square$

### 3. STABILITY OF THE 3RD ROOT FUNCTIONAL EQUATION

In this section, we investigate the 3rd root functional equation in  $C^*$ -algebras.

**Lemma 3.1.** [15] *Let  $T : A^+ \rightarrow B^+$  be a 3rd root mapping satisfying (1.2). Then  $T$  satisfies*

$$T(8^n x) = 2^n T(x)$$

*for all  $x \in A^+$  and all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .*

We prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of the 3rd root functional equation in  $C^*$ -algebras.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $\varphi : A^+ \times A^+ \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a function such that there exists an  $L < 1$  with

$$\varphi(x, y) \leq \frac{L}{2} \varphi(8x, 8y)$$

for all  $x, y \in A^+$ . Let  $f : A^+ \rightarrow B^+$  be a mapping satisfying

$$\left\| f\left(x + y + 3x^{\frac{1}{3}}y^{\frac{1}{3}}x^{\frac{1}{3}} + 3y^{\frac{1}{3}}x^{\frac{1}{3}}y^{\frac{1}{3}}\right) - f(x) - f(y) \right\| \leq \varphi(x, y) \quad (3.1)$$

for all  $x, y \in A^+$ . Then there exists a unique 3rd root mapping  $T : A^+ \rightarrow A^+$  satisfying (1.2) and

$$\|f(x) - T(x)\| \leq \frac{L}{2-2L} \varphi(x, x)$$

for all  $x \in A^+$ .

*Proof.* Letting  $y = x$  in (3.1), we get

$$\|f(8x) - 2f(x)\| \leq \varphi(x, x) \quad (3.2)$$

for all  $x \in A^+$ .

Let  $(X, d)$  be the generalized metric space defined in the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Consider the linear mapping  $J : X \rightarrow X$  such that

$$Jg(x) := 2g\left(\frac{x}{8}\right)$$

for all  $x \in A^+$ .

Now we consider the linear mapping  $J : X \rightarrow X$  such that

$$Jg(x) := 2g\left(\frac{x}{8}\right)$$

for all  $x \in A^+$ .

It follows from (3.2) that

$$\|f(x) - 2f\left(\frac{x}{8}\right)\| \leq \frac{L}{2} \varphi(x, x)$$

for all  $x \in X$ . So  $d(f, Jf) \leq \frac{L}{2}$ .

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.1.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.2.** Let  $p > \frac{1}{3}$  and  $\theta_1, \theta_2$  be non-negative real numbers, and let  $f : A^+ \rightarrow B^+$  be a mapping such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| f\left(x + y + 3x^{\frac{1}{3}}y^{\frac{1}{3}}x^{\frac{1}{3}} + 3y^{\frac{1}{3}}x^{\frac{1}{3}}y^{\frac{1}{3}}\right) - f(x) - f(y) \right\| \\ & \leq \theta_1(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p) + \theta_2 \cdot \|x\|^{\frac{p}{2}} \cdot \|y\|^{\frac{p}{2}} \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

for all  $x, y \in A^+$ . Then there exists a unique 3rd root mapping  $T : A^+ \rightarrow B^+$  satisfying (1.2) and

$$\|f(x) - T(x)\| \leq \frac{2\theta_1 + \theta_2}{8^p - 2} \|x\|^p$$

for all  $x \in A^+$ .

*Proof.* The proof follows from Theorem 3.1 by taking  $\varphi(x, y) = \theta_1(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p) + \theta_2 \cdot \|x\|^{\frac{p}{2}} \cdot \|y\|^{\frac{p}{2}}$  for all  $x, y \in A^+$ . Then we can choose  $L = 2^{1-3p}$  and we get the desired result.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $\varphi : A^+ \times A^+ \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a function such that there exists an  $L < 1$  with

$$\varphi(x, y) \leq 2L\varphi\left(\frac{x}{8}, \frac{y}{8}\right)$$

for all  $x, y \in A^+$ . Let  $f : A^+ \rightarrow B^+$  be a mapping satisfying (3.1). Then there exists a unique 3rd root mapping  $T : A^+ \rightarrow A^+$  satisfying (1.2) and

$$\|f(x) - T(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{2 - 2L}\varphi(x, x)$$

for all  $x \in A^+$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(X, d)$  be the generalized metric space defined in the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Consider the linear mapping  $J : X \rightarrow X$  such that

$$Jg(x) := \frac{1}{2}g(8x)$$

for all  $x \in A^+$ .

It follows from (3.2) that

$$\left\|f(x) - \frac{1}{2}f(8x)\right\| \leq \frac{1}{2}\varphi(x, x)$$

for all  $x \in A^+$ . So  $d(f, Jf) \leq \frac{1}{2}$ .

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.1.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.3.** Let  $0 < p < \frac{1}{3}$  and  $\theta_1, \theta_2$  be non-negative real numbers, and let  $f : A^+ \rightarrow B^+$  be a mapping satisfying (3.3). Then there exists a unique 3rd root mapping  $T : A^+ \rightarrow B^+$  satisfying (1.2) and

$$\|f(x) - T(x)\| \leq \frac{2\theta_1 + \theta_2}{2 - 8^p} \|x\|^p$$

for all  $x \in A^+$ .

*Proof.* The proof follows from Theorem 3.2 by taking  $\varphi(x, y) = \theta_1(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p) + \theta_2 \cdot \|x\|^{\frac{p}{2}} \cdot \|y\|^{\frac{p}{2}}$  for all  $x, y \in A^+$ . Then we can choose  $L = 2^{3p-1}$  and we get the desired result.  $\square$

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology (NRF-2009-0070788).

#### REFERENCES

1. T. Aoki, *On the stability of the linear transformation in Banach spaces*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **2** (1950), 64–66.
2. L. Cădariu and V. Radu, *Fixed points and the stability of Jensen's functional equation*, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. **4**, no. 1, Art. ID 4 (2003).
3. L. Cădariu and V. Radu, *On the stability of the Cauchy functional equation: a fixed point approach*, Grazer Math. Ber. **346** (2004), 43–52.
4. L. Cădariu and V. Radu, *Fixed point methods for the generalized stability of functional equations in a single variable*, Fixed Point Theory and Applications **2008**, Art. ID 749392 (2008).

5. P. Czerwak, *Functional Equations and Inequalities in Several Variables*, World Scientific Publishing Company, New Jersey, Hong Kong, Singapore and London, 2002.
6. J. Diaz and B. Margolis, *A fixed point theorem of the alternative for contractions on a generalized complete metric space*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **74** (1968), 305–309.
7. J. Dixmier,  *$C^*$ -Algebras*, North-Holland Publ. Com., Amsterdam, New York and Oxford, 1977.
8. G.L. Forti, *Comments on the core of the direct method for proving Hyers-Ulam stability of functional equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **295** (2004), 127–133.
9. G.L. Forti, *Elementary remarks on Ulam-Hyers stability of linear functional equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **328** (2007), 109–118.
10. P. Găvruta, *A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **184** (1994), 431–436.
11. K.R. Goodearl, *Notes on Real and Complex  $C^*$ -Algebras*, Shiva Math. Series IV, Shiva Publ. Limited, Cheshire, England, 1982.
12. D.H. Hyers, *On the stability of the linear functional equation*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **27** (1941), 222–224.
13. D.H. Hyers, G. Isac and Th.M. Rassias, *Stability of Functional Equations in Several Variables*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1998.
14. G. Isac and Th.M. Rassias, *Stability of  $\psi$ -additive mappings: Applications to nonlinear analysis*, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. **19** (1996), 219–228.
15. S. Jang and C. Park, *Square root and 3rd root functional equations in  $C^*$ -algebras* (preprint).
16. S. Jung, *Hyers-Ulam-Rassias Stability of Functional Equations in Mathematical Analysis*, Hadronic Press Inc., Palm Harbor, Florida, 2001.
17. S. Jung, *A characterization of injective linear transformations*, J. Convex Anal. **17** (2010), 293–299.
18. D. Miheţ and V. Radu, *On the stability of the additive Cauchy functional equation in random normed spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **343** (2008), 567–572.
19. M. Mirzavaziri and M.S. Moslehian, *A fixed point approach to stability of a quadratic equation*, Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. **37** (2006), 361–376.
20. C. Park, *Fixed points and Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of Cauchy-Jensen functional equations in Banach algebras*, Fixed Point Theory and Applications **2007**, Art. ID 50175 (2007).
21. C. Park, *Generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of quadratic functional equations: a fixed point approach*, Fixed Point Theory and Applications **2008**, Art. ID 493751 (2008).
22. V. Radu, *The fixed point alternative and the stability of functional equations*, Fixed Point Theory **4** (2003), 91–96.
23. J.M. Rassias, *On approximation of approximately linear mappings by linear mappings*, Bull. Sci. Math. **108** (1984), 445–446.
24. J.M. Rassias, *On approximation of approximately linear mappings by linear mappings*, J. Funct. Anal. **46** (1982), 126–130.
25. J.M. Rassias, *Solution of the Ulam stability problem for quartic mappings*, Glas. Mat. Ser. III **34 (54)** (1999), 243–252.
26. Th.M. Rassias, *On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **72** (1978), 297–300.
27. S. M. Ulam, *A Collection of the Mathematical Problems*, Interscience Publ. New York, 1960.